

THINKING SKILLS

9694/21 October/November 2018

Paper 2 Critical Thinking MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 45

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[™], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	(Very) reliable [1] . The World Bank has a good reputation [1] . The World Bank and its investigators are not biased/do not have vested interest [1] . The investigators have relevant expertise [1] and sufficient ability to see [1] . Their findings are plausible in the light of / are corroborated by the information about corruption in Markovia in Source A [1] . They are unlikely to lie about their findings, because their claims can easily be verified [1] .	3
1(b)	 For each of two answers: 2 marks for a valid, complete answer 1 mark for a vague, incomplete or marginal answer. If he and/or his family or friends were involved in the corruption, he may have wished to control the investigation in order to protect them from prosecution/from being expected to repay any money. He may have wanted to hide evidence of corruption in order to protect the reputation of his country and of himself as its leader. Since a large sum of money was given to finance the investigation, he may have wished to create a good impression of his opposition to corruption in order to increase his chances of being re-elected. He may have wanted to prosecute some innocent scapegoats, to create the impression that corruption has been dealt with so that he could continue being corrupt in peace / to suppress some political opponents. 	4
1(c)	 2 marks for a valid, complete answer 1 mark for a vague, incomplete or marginal answer. If improved policing or judicial processes or the work of the Anti-Corruption Commission is bringing more corruption to light / increasing the conviction rate (rather than corruption increasing). If publicity about the increased number of cases will deter potentially corrupt people and thereby eventually reduce the amount of corruption. 	2

Question		Answer	Marks
1(d)	Level 3 5–6 marks	A strong answer, which provides a reasoned argument including thorough evaluation of all or most of the evidence to support an acceptable conclusion in terms of probability and evaluates the plausibility of at least one alternative conclusion.	6
	Level 2 3–4 marks	An answer which evaluates some of the evidence, draws an acceptable conclusion in terms of probability and may mention the plausibility of at least one alternative conclusion.	
	Level 1 1–2 marks	A weak answer, which refers to some of the evidence, possibly including a simple evaluative comment. The conclusion may be unstated or over-stated.	
	Level 0 0 marks	No credit-worthy material.	
	 Corruption Corruption governme 	es are: a was never a serious problem in Markovia. a remains a serious problem in Markovia. a used to be a serious problem in Markovia, but the nt is succeeding in reducing it. these may be the most likely.	
	Indicative cor	ntent	
	 provide op In particular property a The fact the supplies in misapprop The paucity has been in 	describes how the political and social structures of Markovia oportunities for corruption. ar, it shows that political power is a way of acquiring personal nd wealth. nat little progress has been made in improving the water in the capital city (Source B) is consistent with priation of funds. ty of evidence reported in Source B could imply that money misappropriated, d be due to poor record-keeping.	
	If Source (C is taken at face value, it shows that the Prime Minister is	
	 but the fac Anti-Corru himself an 	d to eradicate corruption from Markovia, et that the Prime Minister has personally taken charge of the ption Commission may suggest he is determined to protect d his family and friends from being shown to be corrupt.	
		nce of the other sources makes it highly probable that the ne Anti-Corruption Commission announced in Source D is a	
	The increa	ase in the number of convictions for corruption announced in is probably an indication of improvement,	
	 unless the 	se cases are a way for the Prime Minister to consolidate his I wealth by neutralising political/commercial opponents.	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	Notes for the guidance of markers	
	Simple supported conclusion 1 (if no conclusion cap at Level 2)	
	+ simple consideration of alternative +1 AND reasoned rejection of alternative +1	
	+ explicit use of some (3 or fewer) sources of evidence +1 OR explicit use of all or most (4 or more) sources of evidence +2	
	+ critical evaluation of evidence +1 or (more than one case) +2 + good inferential reasoning +1 or (more than one case) +2	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	 People who have those skills may enjoy the games more [1], and therefore spend more time playing them [1]. Playing video games may reduce stress [1] and thereby improve performance in the tests [1]. It may be that a third factor is responsible for some people being both inclined to play video games and equipped to perform well in the tests [1]; for example, a certain personality trait [1]. <i>1 mark for answers which rely on coincidence.</i> <i>0 marks for answers which suggest causes of skill only, with no reference to time spent playing video games.</i> 	2
2(b)	 For each of two answers: 2 marks for a valid, complete answer 1 mark for a vague, incomplete or marginal answer. *The improvement observed refers to performance on the game only [1], and cannot necessarily be applied to other skills [1]. Even if the improvement does relate to a wider range of mental skills [1], *it does not necessarily indicate that the brain has been 'regenerated' [1]. *These elements can be combined to constitute a 2-mark answer. People who practice a game do get better at it [1]; so the improvement at the game does ot necessarily indicate any kind of mental improvement [1] The improvement could only be temporary [1], in which case it would not be evidence that the brain had been regenerated [1]. There is no information about how much practice at the game the 'much younger players' had had [1], so the comparison is not meaningful [1]. Older people are likely to have driving experience [1], which may be the reason why they perform better in the game than younger people [1]. <i>1 mark:</i> The claim that brains are being regenerated is weakly supported. 	4

Question	Answer	Marks
2(c)	 mark each for up to three of the following: The evidence refers to inexperienced surgeons only. The evidence is based on certain kinds of video game only. The improvement refers to performance on the simulator, not actual surgery. The experiment refers to only one kind of surgery ('keyhole'). 	3
2(d)	Level 3 5–6 marksA reasoned argument, which uses and evaluates all or most of the evidence provided.Level 2 3–4 marksA simple argument, which uses and/or evaluates evidence.	6
	Level 1 1-2 marksA weak answer, which makes some correct reference to evidence but consists of opinion and/or assertion rather than argument or a weak argument which makes no reference to evidence.	
	Level 0 0 marksNo credit-worthy material.	
	 Indicative content Source A suggests that there is some cognitive benefit in playing some video games, but only some games and only some skills. Source B suggests that elderly people can improve their performance on certain tasks with practice, but there is no evidence that this improvement can be transferred to more useful skills. Source C suggests that playing video games may have dangers, but this claim may be biased/part of a general attack on modern culture. Source D gives a specific case of skills required in adult life which can be developed by playing video games, but this applies to only a small and very specialised category of people. Notes for the guidance of markers Simple supported conclusion 1 or nuanced conclusion 2	
	 + <u>use</u> of 1 or 2 sources +1 or <u>use</u> of all or most (3 or more) sources of evidence +2 not just mentioning or summarising or comprehension + critical evaluation of evidence +1 or (more than one case) +2 + good inferential reasoning +1 or (more than one case) +2 not speculation 	
	+ personal thinking +1	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	<i>2 marks:</i> The scope of Health & Safety protection needs to be extended more widely. <i>1 mark: Recognisable paraphrase or significantly incomplete version of the above.</i>	2
3(b)	 mark for each of the following, to a maximum of 3 marks: Raising awareness of Health & Safety outside work settings will have similar benefits. So all householders should be required by law to conduct a risk assessment of their homes. The requirement to undertake risk assessments should be extended to all activities (involving groups or individuals). Every home should also have someone qualified in First Aid. they [parents] should be forced to do so [have detailed policies on health and safety and be trained in what to do in an emergency]. 	3

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Marks for each evaluative point as follows, up to a maximum of 5 marks:	5
	 2 marks: Valid evaluative point, clearly expressed. 1 mark: Weak attempt at a valid evaluative point. 	
	Paragraph 1	
	 Causal flaw: there are other possible causes of the improvements in workplace safety, apart from H&S regulation. <i>This point can alternatively be expressed as an assumption.</i> Equivocation: the final sentence moves illegitimately from 'healthy and safe' (in the everyday sense) to 'Health & Safety' (referring to programmes of regulation). <i>(This point can alternatively be expressed as an assumption.)</i> 	
	 Conflation: between 'raising awareness' in para 1 and requiring by law elsewhere in the argument. 	
	Paragraph 2	
	 Assumption: the reasoning in this paragraph relies on the assumption that private citizens have a duty not to endanger themselves and their families, analogous to the duty of employers towards their workers. Assumption: that homes are comparably dangerous to workplaces. Restriction of options: there are intermediate policies between abolishing Health & Safety in workplaces and applying it by law to the 	
	 Non-sequitur: just because we would not 'want to go back to the bad old days' in the workplace, it does not follow that we should introduce H&S at home. Appeal to emotion: the reference to workers risking their lives is 	
	exaggerated.	
	Paragraph 3	
	• Conflation/Assumption: the reasoning in this paragraph relies on the assumption that lacking a risk assessment and being unprotected are equivalent. (Likely to be expressed in various ways – including absurdity of risk assessments for 'all activities involving individuals'.)	
	Paragraph 4	
	 Assumption: this section of the reasoning relies on the implausible assumption that it is necessary to be 'qualified in First Aid' in order to apply a sticking plaster or give someone an aspirin. 	
	Paragraph 5	
	• Emotive language: the expression 'take the trouble' gives the unrealistic impression that parents who do not have detailed policies on health and safety and are not trained in what to do in an emergency are being irresponsible and lazy.	
	Assumption: that formal policies and training are needed to protect children from harm.	

Question		Answer	Marks
3(d)	Level 3 4–5 marks	Developed, coherent argument. Reasons strongly support conclusion. Development may include intermediate conclusion or apt examples. Simply structured argument – 4 marks. Effective use of IC etc. – 5 marks.	5
	Level 2 2–3 marks	A simple argument. One reason + conclusion – 2 marks. Two or more separate reasons + conclusion – 3 marks.	
	Level 1 1 mark	Some relevant comment.	
	Level 0 0 marks	No relevant comment.	
	stated. No credit for m Specimen lev Support (122 v Many years ag business, and But workers ha employers sho	words) go, most employers regarded profit as the sole aim of their their workers as morally equivalent to physical raw materials. ave the same moral status as those who employ them. So build treat their workers as 'persons', with their own legitimate	
	pursue those i attend compet indirectly, sinc of their labours being exploited	rests. They should ensure that workers have opportunities to interests, for example by providing sports clubs and time off to itive events. Policies of this kind also benefit the employers e healthy, happy workers are more committed to the success s than those who work under compulsion or feel they are d. Therefore employers' duty of care for their workers should the workplace.	
	Challenge (13		
	built model vill schools and lik motivated thos that all persons of their employ Workers are n make decision their workers a how to spend i	good thing that in days gone by a few enlightened employers ages for their workers and provided institutions such as braries for their welfare. Yet the underlying convictions which be company owners were based on inequality. We now realise is are born equal. So workers should not be under the power vers, whether that power is exercised kindly or exploitatively. to children, and therefore they do not need wiser people to is on their behalf. The only obligation of employers is to pay a fair wage. The workers themselves are capable of choosing it, and must be allowed to do so. Therefore employers' duty of vorkers should not extend beyond the workplace.	