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This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.
Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

## GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.


## GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2 :

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

## GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded positively:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.


## GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

## GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:
Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

| Question | Answer | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a)(i) | 12000 units $\times \$ 80=\$ 960000$ (1) | 1 |
| 1(a)(ii) | 12000 units $\times \$ 50=\$ 600000$ (1) | 1 |
| 1(a)(iii) | \$80000 $\times 1.5=\$ 120000$ (1) | 1 |
| 1(a)(iv) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Opening inventory }=1500 \text { units } \times \$ 50=\$ 75000(1) \\ & \text { Closing inventory }=3500 \text { units } \times \$ 50=\$ 175000 \text { (1) } \\ & \text { Average }=\$ 125000 \text { (1) OF } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
| 1(b) | It calculates the number of days between paying for goods purchased (1) and receiving the money for goods sold (1). <br> Or: The number of days to convert the net current assets (1) into cash (1) | 2 |
| 1(c) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inventory turnover }=\frac{125000}{600000}(\mathbf{1}) \mathrm{OF} \times 365=76.04 \text { days } / 77 \text { days }(\mathbf{1}) \mathrm{OF} \\ & \text { Trade receivables collection }=\frac{120000}{960000}(\mathbf{1}) \mathrm{OF} \times 365=45.63 \text { days } / 46 \text { days }(\mathbf{1}) \mathrm{OF} \\ & \text { Trade payables payment }=\frac{62000}{700000}(\mathbf{( 1 )} \times 365=32.33 \text { days } / 33 \text { days }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ <br> Working capital cycle $=77+46-33=90$ days (1) OF | 7 |
| 1(d) | New revenue $=12000$ at $\$ 90=\$ 1080000$ (1) <br> New trade receivables = \$90000 (1) <br> New trade receivables collection $=\frac{90000}{1080000}$ (1) $\mathbf{O F} \times 365=30.42$ days $/ 31$ days (1) OF <br> New working capital cycle $=77+31-33=75$ days (1) OF <br> or $90-46+31=75$ days (1) OF | 5 |


| Question | Answer |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1(\mathrm{e})$ | Strategy would reduce/improve the working capital cycle (1) OF by 15 days (1) OF <br> The liquidity of the business has improved (1) <br> The strategy would reduce the overdraft (1) <br> The business would benefit from a lower working capital cycle (1) <br> Profitability would increase (1) <br> May not be realistic to expect no change in demand (1) <br> Not all customers may take the discount (1) <br> Accept other valid points. <br> Decision (1) and max 4 marks for discussion. | (1) |



| Question | Answer |  |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(b) | Land and buildings - revalued amount <br> Land and buildings - original cost <br> Accumulated depreciation at 1 January 2017 <br> Revaluation reserve at 1 January 2017 <br> Or - alternative presentation <br> Land and buildings - revalued amount <br> Less: land and buildings - original cost <br> Add: accumulated depreciation at 1 January 2017 Revaluation reserve at 1 January 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 600000 \\ (72000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\$$  <br> 720000 (1) <br> 528000 (1) <br> 192000 (1) OF <br> $\$$  <br> 120000 (1) <br> 72000 (1) <br> 192000 (1) OF | 5 |
| 2(c) | 2017 financial statements <br> non-adjusting event <br> IAS 10 disclosure only/included as a note <br> 2018 financial statements <br> write off/decrease the value of the asset <br> 1 mark for each valid point but max 2 for 2017 comments |  |  | 3 |
| 2(d) | An impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying amount (1) | asset exceeds | coverable amount (1) | 2 |




| Question | Answer |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(c) |  | $\$$  <br> 55000  <br> 1600  <br> 2800  <br> 9700  <br> 3300  <br> 4320 (1) OF <br> 10000 $(1)$ OF <br> 123280 (1) OF <br> 210000  | 5 |
| 3(d) | Consigning goods to Mahood <br> can test the overseas market before investing heavily there would be no initial set up costs of a branch the current consignment arrangements are profitable existing customer base/reputation/knowledge of existing market is already established less risk in terms of overseas economic, political, cultural and social environment <br> Opening a branch overseas <br> would have complete control of the business activities, i.e. marketing strategy there would be a saving of commission to Mahood allows expansion overseas if local market is saturated. <br> (Max 2 marks) for discussing consigning goods and (Max 2 marks) for discussing overseas branch; (1 mark) for recommendation. |  | 5 |



| Question | Answer | Marks |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 4 (b) | As profits are shared equally both Ephraim and Fikriyah would receive $\$ 50000$ in the first year. (1) <br> Second year profits are $\$ 90000(1)$, third year $\$ 81000$ (1) and fourth year $\$ 72$ 900. (1) <br> Ephraim is worse off (throughout the period). (1) <br> Fikriyah is better off for years one, two and three (1) but is also worse off in year four. (1) <br> Fikriyah is contributing significantly more capital but only receiving half the profit (1) OF <br> The profits may not have been maintained by the sole traders for this four-year period (1) |  |
|  | It would appear that the merger is beneficial for Fikriyah/not for Ephraim. (1) <br> The partners should take action to reverse the trend in falling profit (1) <br> The synergies from partnership will make it more efficient (1) <br> Accept other valid points. |  |



| Question | Answer | Marks |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 5(c) | Both positive and negative NPVs are small in relation to the outlay. <br> Decision would depend on how risk-averse Jason is. <br> The project pays back even at the lower sales value. <br> There are a lot of assumptions being made even without the final sales proceeds. <br> Jason may get more for the building if he sold the flats individually rather than as a block. <br> Accept other valid points. <br> (1) for decision and (max 4) for comments | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 5(d) | Payback ignores the time value of money <br> Payback ignores the length of a project <br> Payback ignores cash flows arising after the payback period <br> Projects with the same NPVs could have different patterns of cash movements and hence have different payback periods. <br> Accept other valid points. <br> Any two for (1) mark each | $\mathbf{2}$ |


| Question |  |  |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | Responses could include: <br> the setting of standards is time consuming/costly e.g. needs a specialist standards need to be updated regularly as business conditions change rapidly too high a standard may have a demotivating effect on staff setting standards involves prediction which has an element of uncertainty/inaccurate $(1 \text { mark }) \times 2 \text { limitations }$ |  |  | 2 |
| 6(b)(i) | Direct materials price variance $(15360 \times \$ 80)-\$ 1190400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ 38400 \end{gathered}$ | (F) | 2 |
| 6(b)(ii) | Direct materials usage variance $(15360-4800 \times 3) \times \$ 80$ | 76800 | (A) | 2 |
| 6(b)(iii) | Direct labour rate variance $(55200 \times \$ 30)-\$ 1766400$ | 110400 | (A) | 2 |
| 6(b)(iv) | Direct labour efficiency variance $(55200-4800 \times 12) \times \$ 30$ | 72000 | (F) | 2 |
| 6(b)(v) | Fixed overhead expenditure variance \$600 000 - \$579 600 | 20400 | (F) | 2 |
| 6(b)(vi) | Fixed overhead volume variance $\$ 600000-\$ 576000$ | 24000 | (A) | 2 |


| Question | Answer |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(b) | For reference: <br> Direct materials $3 \times \$ 80$ <br> Direct labour $12 \times \$ 30$ <br> Production overhead $12 \times \$ 10$ <br> Unit production cost <br> Static budget <br> Direct materials $5000 \times \$ 240$ <br> Direct labour $5000 \times \$ 360$ <br> Production overhead $5000 \times \$ 120$ <br> Production cost for 5000 units | $\$$ <br> 240 <br> 360 <br> 120 <br> 720 <br> $\$$ <br> 1200000 <br> 1800000 <br> 600000 <br> 3600000 |  |
| 6(c) | Budgeted cost W1 <br> Direct material price variance Direct material usage variance Direct labour rate variance Direct labour efficiency variance Fixed OH expenditure variance Fixed OH volume variance Production cost <br> W1 Flexed budget <br> Direct materials $4800 \times \$ 240$ <br> Direct labour $4800 \times \$ 360$ <br> Production overhead $4800 \times \$ 120$ <br> Production cost for 4800 units |  | 8 |


| Question | Answer |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 6(d) | Increasing selling price may lose existing customers <br> May gain new customers looking for high quality product <br> Higher quality product will enhance the reputation of the business <br> Will adversely affect the material price variance <br> May improve material usage variance/less wastage <br> May further improve labour efficiency variance with the use of high quality materials <br> Accept other valid points. <br> (2) marks for justification and (1) mark for decision. |

