Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

## MARK SCHEME for the March 2016 series

# 9706 ACCOUNTING

9706/32

Paper 3 (A Level Structured Questions), maximum raw mark 150

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the March 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup> and Cambridge International A and AS Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



Page 2	Mark Scheme		Syllabu	is Paper
	Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 20	16	9706	32
1 (a)				
. (u)	Kelang Limited			
	Manufacturing account for year ended 31	December	2015	
		\$	2010	\$
[	Direct materials consumed	Ŧ		Ŧ
_	Inventory at 1 January 2015	24 600		
	Purchases	287 000		
	Carriage inwards	3700	(1)	
	Inventory at 31 December 2015	(28 800)	· · /	286 500 (1)o
[	Direct wages		-	344 000
	Prime cost			630 500 (1of
F	Factory overhead			· ·
ľ	ndirect materials	43 000	1/4)	
	ndirect wages	69 000	(I)	
[	Depreciation on property	14 000	1(1)	
[	Depreciation on plant and machinery	24 000	}(1)	
V	Nater and electricity expenses	12 400	(1)	
(	Other factory overheads	32 500	<u> </u>	194 900
				825 400
	Nork in progress at 1 January 2015	66 800	}(1)	
	Nork in progress at 31 December 2015	72 200		(5400)
	Cost of goods manufactured			820 000
	Factory profit 20%			<u>164 000</u> (1)o
Г	Transferred to the Trading section of the Income Statement			984 000
				[8]

(b)

Kelang Limite Income statement for the year end		
	\$	\$
Revenue		1 562 000
Cost of sales		
Finished goods at 1 January 2015	162 000	
Transferred from Manufacturing account	984 000 <b>(1of)</b>	
Finished goods at 31 December 2015	186 000	960 000
Gross profit		602 000 (1of)
Administrative expenses	374 000	
Depreciation on property	6 000	
Depreciation on office equipment	18 000 <sup>}(1)</sup>	
Water and electricity	3 100 <b>(1)</b>	
		401 100
		200 900
Factory profit		164 000 <b>(1of)</b>
Less: Increase in provision for unrealised profit		4 000 <b>(1)</b>
Profit from operations		360 900 (1of)*

[7]

Page 3	3	Mark Scheme Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 2016		Syllabus 9706	Paper 32
	w	orkings		5700	
	1	Depreciation on property Allocated to production Allocated to administration	\$400 000 × 5% = \$20 000 \$20 000 × 70% = \$14 000 \$20 000 × 30% = 6000		
	2	Depreciation on manufacturing plan	t and machinery (\$350 000 – \$230 000) × 20 <sup>0</sup>	% = \$24 000	
	3	Depreciation on office equipment	\$120 000 × 15% = \$18 000		
	4	Year end unrealised profit	\$186 000 × 1 / (5 + 1) = 31 0	00	
	5	Water and electricity Allocated to production Allocated to administration	\$14 000 + 1500 = \$15 500 \$15 500 × 80% = \$12 400 \$15 500 × 20% = \$3100		
(c)	Re	esponses could include:			
	tra pru	ransfer price includes unrealised profit ransfer price less unrealised profit represents the cost of finished goods rudence concept nventory valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value IAS 2			
	(1	mark) × three valid points			[3]
(d)	Re	esponses could include:			
	no	guments for 'should not continue' t acceptable for external reporting e % of mark-up is subjective			
	pro fac co co	Arguments for 'should continue' production department continues to be treated as profit centre facilitates pricing cost of production department is better controlled compare efficiency, reward efficient managers facilitates a system of responsibility accounting			
	(m	<b>ax 2</b> $\times$ <b>3 marks</b> (1 mark for stating and lax 3 for arguments for should continu	e	ation	
		ax 3 for arguments for should not cont <b>mark</b> for recommendation	inue)		[7]
				[	Total: 25]

	(i)	Cambridge Internatio	nal AS/A Level	– March 2016	9706	22
	(i)				5700	32
	(י)	1250 ÷ 50 = \$25 <b>(1)</b>				[1]
	(ii)	(3050 × 1000/100) <b>(1)</b> – 25 (	000 <b>(1)</b> – 4000 <b>(</b> 1	l) = \$1500 (1of)		[4]
o)	(i)		Canaiana			
			•	ient account		\$
		Goods on consignment Bank (freight) Sumit (import duties)	25 000 (1) 4 000 (1) 1 500 (1of)			4 000 <b>(1)</b> 3 050 <b>(1)</b>
		Consignment profit	<u>15 750</u> (1of)			7 050
		Balance b/d			5	<u>7 050</u>
						[8]
	(ii)		Quint			
				account		\$
		Consignment a/c (sales)	54 000 <b>(1)</b>		ort	1 500 <b>(1)of</b>
				Consignment a/c	1	0 800 <b>(1)of</b>
				Bank	2	6 800 <b>(1)</b>
			54 000	Balance c/d		4 900 <b>(1of)</b> 4 000
		Balance b/d	14 900 <b>(1of)</b>			
						[6]
C		) (i) (ii)	Goods on consignment Bank (freight) Sumit (import duties) Sumit (commission) Consignment profit Balance b/d (ii) Consignment a/c (sales)	Consignment Goods on consignment Bank (freight) Sumit (import duties) Sumit (commission) Consignment profit Balance b/d (ii) (ii) Consignment a/c (sales) 54 000 (1) Sumit \$ 54 000 (1) Sumit 54 000 (1) Sumit \$ 54 000 (1) Sumit \$ 54 000 (1) 54 000 (1)	<ul> <li>Goods on consignment Bank (freight)</li> <li>Sumit (import duties)</li> <li>Sumit (commission)</li> <li>Consignment profit</li> <li>Balance b/d</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Consignment a/c (sales)</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Sumit account</li> <li>Consignment a/c (sales)</li> <li>Sumit account</li> </ul>	Consignment account         Goods on consignment         Bank (freight)         Bank (freight)         Sumit (import duties)         Sumit (commission)         Consignment profit         Balance b/d         Sumit account         \$         Consignment a/c (sales)         Sumit account         \$         Summer account         \$         Summer acco

(c) Chin should make this change (1) of decision

This would reduce costs (1) and hence increase profit on consignment (1) by 11 (1)  $\times$  \$160 = \$1760 (1of)

Increased risk (1) Demand may fall (1) resulting in unsold inventory (1)

Finance may be required to buy all the inventory in one go (1) Borrowing may increase during the year (1) There may be an opportunity cost of surplus funds (1)

On average radios would stay in inventory much longer (1) with risk of obsolescence (1) or theft/damage (1)

Sumit might not be able to organise adequate storage space (1) with inventory holding costs and might require a higher rate of commission to cope with the added responsibility (1)

1 mark for decision Max 2 for calculation Max 3 for discussion

[6]

[Total: 25]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 2016	9706	32

3 (a) Equity and liabilities section of the Statement of financial position at 31 December 2015

	\$
Equity and liabilities	
Equity	
\$0.50 ordinary shares	300 000 <b>(1)</b>
5% \$0.25 Non-redeemable preference shares	25 000
Share premium	150 000 <b>(1)</b>
Retained earnings	<u>(3 000)</u> (1)
Total equity	472 000
Non-current liabilities	100 000 <b>(1)</b>
Current liabilities Total equity and liabilities	<u> </u>

(b) (i) dividend cover (144 000 - 2000) = 142 000 / 54 000 (1) = 2.63 times (1)

- (ii) gearing ratio 125 000(1) / 572 000 × 100 = 21.85% (1)of
- (iii) return on capital employed 192 000 / 572 000(1)of × 100 = 33.57% (1)of

(c) Johnson plc has a higher dividend cover (1), a lower ordinary dividend per share (1) of \$0.09
(1) and a lower earnings per share (1) of \$0.24 (1) but a lower gearing ratio (1) a higher return on capital employed (1)
This means that Johnson plc is not borrowing as much from external sources proportional to the amount of capital employed compared to Samuel plc (1). Samuel has more risk. (1)
The capital the company is being used more efficiently as there is a greater return (1)
However the ordinary dividends could only be paid out of profits 2.63 times compared to 2.1 times for Samuel plc. (1). Max 9

(d) The amount of dividend on ordinary shares is variable with the level of profits therefore for short term return Samuel plc may be better as the dividend return is much better (1) as is the earnings per share (1) Better in short term (1) However Johnson plc has borrowed less from external sources (1) and is using its capital employed to achieve a greater return. (1) so may be better for long term growth (1)

Recommendation either Samuel or Johnson (1) Max 4 marks for justification 1 mark for recommendation

[5]

[5]

[6]

[Total: 25]

age 6	Mark Sch	eme		Sy	llabus	Paper
U	Cambridge International AS	6/A Level	- March 2016		9706	32
(a)						
( )	Fernando and Gurdip – State	ment of F	inancial Positio	on at 1 July 2	2015	
			\$	\$		
A	Assets				(4)	
	Non-current assets			308 000	(1)	
C	Current assets					
	Inventories		46 893 <b>(1)</b>			
	Trade receivables		61 110 <b>(1)</b>	110 100		
	Cash and cash equivalents Total assets	_	4 100	112 103		
	Total assets			420 103		
	Capital and liabilities					
C	Capital – Fernando		96 750			
	– Gurdip	_	281 853			
			378 603 <b>(7)</b>			
C	Current liabilities					
	Trade payables		41 500 <b>(1)</b>			
	Total capital and liabilities	_	420 103			
V	Vorkings					
			Fernando	Gurdip		
E	Balance b/d		94 450	259 000		
	Non-current assets		6 000		(1) bot	
	nventories		(650)		(1) bot	
	Provision Goodwill		(1 050) 7 000		(1) bot	
	Goodwill written off		(9 000)	(18 000)	(1) bot	
		_	96 750	281 853		
						[11]
(b)		<u>^</u>	•	•		
-	Pudgeted profit for the year	\$	\$	\$		
	Budgeted profit for the year Add:			80 000		
	nterest on drawings – Fernando	1 620				
	– Gurdip	1 200	-	<u>2 820</u> (1)	both	
-				82 820		
	Deduct:	30 000				
	Salary – Fernando – Gurdip		50 000 <b>(1)</b>			
h	nterest on capital – Fernando	3 870				
	– Gurdip		15 144 <b>(1)of</b>	(65 144)		
			(-,	17 676		
	Profit after appropriations – Fernando			5 892		
Г	– Gurdip G				of both	(correct rat
	1		-			-
			-	17 676		[4]

(c) The legal formation of a corporate entity separate from the partners (1). [1]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 2016	9706	32

#### (d) Advice. (1)

Benefits – limited liability (1), easier to change ownership through shares (1), easier to raise capital (1), shareholders can be paid in dividends (1) and some customers / suppliers prefer dealing with companies rather than partnerships (1).

Limitations – stricter rules (1), more paperwork (1) and higher accountancy costs (1). Divorce of ownership and control (1).

#### Max 2 benefits + 2 limitations

mark for stating + 1 mark for development of each benefit and limitation.
 mark for advice

[9]

[4]

[Total: 25]

5 (a) (i)

	Alpha	Beta
	\$	\$
Direct materials	80 000	240 000
Direct labour	150 000	300 000
Production overheads*	90 000	450 000
Total production costs	320 000 (1)	990 000 <b>(1)</b>

\*\$540 000  $\times$  5000 / (5000 + 25 000) = \$90 000 \$540 000  $\times$  25 000 / (5000 + 25 000) = \$450 000

- (ii) Unit cost \$320 (1of) \$198 (1of)
- (b)

,	Alpha \$	Beta \$	
Unit production cost	\$320 (of)	\$198 (of)	
Mark-up 50%	\$160	\$99	
Unit selling price	\$480 (1of)	\$297 (1of)	
			[2]

#### (c) Responses could include:

more accurate cost information to management for decision making, i.e. pricing can monitor the efficiency of various activities allocation of overhead is more fair because it is based on the activities consumed, not on an arbitrary allocation (i.e. labour hours) can also allocate non-manufacturing overhead, i.e. administrative support.

Accept any reasonable alternative

(1 mark) × 1 benefit

[1]

Page 8	Mark S	cheme		Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International	AS/A Level – Marc	h 2016	9706	32
(d)					
( )		Alpha	Beta		
		\$	\$		
Ν	/lachine set-up	66 000	44 000 <b>(1)bo</b>	oth	
Ν	lachine maintenance	81 000	99 000 (1)bo	oth	
Ν	laterials handling	60 000	30 000 (1)bo		
P	Product inspection	100 000	60 000 (1)bc	oth	
		307 000	233 000		
					[4]
(e)					
(6)		Alpha	Beta		
		\$	\$		
Г	Direct materials	80 000	240 000		
_	Direct labour	150 000	300 000		
_	Production overheads	307 000 (of)	233 000 (of)		
-	Total production costs	537 000	773 000		
L	Jnit cost	537.00 (1of)	154.60 (1of)		
Ν	/lark-up 50%	268.50	77.30 (1of)		
	Jnit selling price	805.50 (1of)	、 /		
		( - )	( ' ' ')		[5]

(f) Responses could include:

the market price of the products the impact on the profit the impact on the customers/demand the effect on competition

Accept any reasonable alternative

 $(2 \text{ marks}) \times 3 \text{ explanations}$ 

(g) Responses could include:

Should change/should not change (1) recommendation Jumal Limited set the selling price on cost-plus base, therefore accurate cost information is very important.

Comparing the traditional approach with activity based costing approach, if traditional approach is adopted, Alpha is under-costed (Alpha consumes a higher level of resources) while Beta is over-costed (Beta consumes a lower level of resources). This is the consequence of subsidisation.

The problem of product under costing and over costing gives rise to a wrong selling price setting.

### Accept any reasonable alternative

(2 marks) × explanation 1 mark for recommendation [6]

Page 9		Mark Scheme		Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 2016			9706	32
6 (a)					
()		\$			
	Sales	<u>2 072 000</u> (1)	\$1 184 000 × 175%		
	Direct materials	288 000 <b>(1)</b>	8000 units × 3 kilos × \$	512	
	Direct labour	640 000 <b>(1)</b>	8000 units $\times$ 4 hours $\times$	\$20	
	Fixed overhead	256 000 (1)	8000 units $\times$ 4 hours $\times$	\$8	
	Manufacturing costs	1 184 000			
	Gross profit	888 000 <b>(1)of</b>			
	-				[

(b) Responses could include:

Flexible budget facilitates variance analysis Comparison with the actual result is more meaningful if the budget is at the same activity level of the actual result. What the budget will be if the actual output is known? In contrast with static budget which is prepared at the beginning of the budget period, flexible budget is prepared at the end of the budget period. This facilitates comparing the actual result for control purpose. More realistic.

Accept any reasonable alternative

 $(1 mark) \times 2 reasons$ 

(c) (i) Direct materials price (\$12 × 22 850 kg) - \$269 000 = \$5200(1) (F) (1)

- (ii) Direct materials usage (7500 × 3 kg − 22 850 kg) × \$12 = \$4200(1) (A) (1)
- (iii) Fixed overhead expenditure \$256 000 - \$250 000 = \$600 091) (F) (1)
- (iv) Fixed overhead volume (8000 units – 7500 units) × 4 hours × \$8 = \$16 000 (1)(A) (1)

[8]

[2]

Page 1	0	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge International AS/A Level – March 2016	9706	32
(d)	(i)	Adverse direct labour rate variance wage rate increases trade union activity inflation use of more skilled labour increase in overtime poor labour supply increasing the rate per hour/ increase in mi hour.	nimum wag	e per
		Adverse direct labour efficiency variance workers not well trained workers with low skill poor working condition poor staff morale inefficient machine		
		Accept any reasonable alternative (1 mark) × 6 points across labour variances		[6]
	(ii)	Adverse fixed overhead volume variance actual production less than the budgeted production favourable fixed overheard expenditure variance actual fixed overheard expenditure is lower than the budget Accept any reasonable alternative (1 mark) × 2 points		[2]
(e)	Re	sponse could include:		
	be mo be be	tter training tter working condition otivate workers with the use of bonus schemes tter machine tter working condition tter quality materials		
		cept any reasonable alternative marks) × explanation		[2]
	•	, '		
				[Total: 25]