

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS General Certificate of Education Advanced Level

#### THINKING SKILLS

Paper 4 Applied Reasoning

9694/42 May/June 2010 1 hour and 30 minutes

Additional Materials: Answer Booklet/Paper

# **READ THESE INSTRUCTIONS FIRST**

If you have been given an Answer Booklet, follow the instructions on the front cover of the booklet. Write your Centre number, candidate number and name on all the work you hand in. Write in dark blue or black pen. Do not use staples, paper clips, highlighters, glue or correction fluid. DO **NOT** WRITE ON ANY BARCODES Electronic calculators should be used.

Answer **all** the questions. Start each question on a new answer sheet.

At the end of the examination, fasten all your work securely together. The number of marks is given in brackets [] at the end of each question.

This document consists of 10 printed pages and 2 blank pages.



1 Study the information below and answer the questions that follow. Show your working

Three chefs have submitted recipes for different kinds of cakes which are to be sold at a charity fair.

The key differences between the three recipes are the quantities of luxury ingredients required each cake.

www.papaCambridge.com The requirements (in grams) for making one cake using each of the three recipes are given below:

Ingredient	Mary's recipe	William's recipe	Chris's recipe
Raisins	40g	10g	20g
Chocolate	10g	10g	40g
Ginger	20g	30g	10g

- The ingredients will be bought from a supplier that offers a special deal, where ingredients • **must** be purchased in equal quantities. For instance one could buy 120g of raisins, 120g of chocolate and 120g of ginger.
- The supplier will only sell quantities that are multiples of 10g.
- In order to avoid offending any of the chefs, he decides that he will make some cakes using each recipe. He further decides that the number of the type of cake he will make the least of must be no less than half the number he will make the most of. For instance, he would not make 30 of Mary's recipe, 20 of William's recipe and 10 of Chris's recipe, because the number of Chris's cakes is less than half of the number of Mary's.
- He decides to make 60 cakes in total for the fair.

Your answers to the following questions must not contradict any of the above conditions.

- (a) What is the greatest number of cakes that the stall organiser could make using any one person's recipe? [2]
- (b) If the stall organiser decides upon making the most cakes possible using William's recipe, show that the total amount of unused ingredients is 450g. [3]
- (c) What is the least number of cakes that the stall organiser could make of any one of the recipes? [1]

The stall organiser is keen to minimise the amount of ingredients that go unused.

(d) Show that it is possible to produce 60 cakes with less than 50g of unused ingredients. [4]



[Question 2 is printed on the next page]

#### Study the information below and answer the questions that follow. Show your working 2

www.papaCambridge.com In the Heptathlon, athletes compete against each other in seven events. In each performance times or distances are converted to points scores. The winner is the competitor the highest total number of points over the seven events.

This is a summary of the results of the eight athletes who took part in the Heptathlon at this year's Floratian National Athletics Championships:

Name		100m Hurdles	High Jump	Shot Put	200m	Long Jump	Javelin	800m
	Time/ Distance	15.93s	1.67m	10.52m	27.58s	4.89m	33.13m	2:23.45
Holly Ash	Event Points	723	818	564	664	530	536	777
	Total Points	723	1541	2105	2769	3299	3835	4612
	Time/ Distance	15.02s	1.64m	11.31m	25.92s	5.20m	48.07m	2:17.48
lvy Birch	Event Points	839	783	616	804	614	823	858
	Total Points	839	1622	2238	3042	3656	4479	5337
	Time/ Distance	14.98s	1.58m	8.59m	26.19s	4.83m	34.36m	2:25.21
Iris Linden	Event Points	844	712	438	781	514	559	754
	Total Points	844	1556	1994	2775	3289	3848	4602
	Time/ Distance	14.29s	1.70m	11.52m	25.81s	5.49m	42.37m	2:25.57
Heather Palm	Event Points	938	855	629	814	697	713	749
	Total Points	938	1793	2422	3236	3933	4646	5395
	Time/ Distance	17.61s	1.46m	10.09m	27.07s	4.80m	41.40m	2:32.25
Lily Pine	Event Points	529	577	535	706	506	694	665
	Total Points	529	1106	1641	2347	2853	3547	4212
	Time/ Distance	15.59s	1.52m	11.72m	26.68s	5.44m	30.19m	2:29.66
Daisy Rowan	Event Points	765	464	643	739	683	480	697
	Total Points	765	1229	1872	2611	3294	3774	4471
	Time/ Distance	15.54s	1.64m	9.84m	27.27s	5.15m	27.07m	2:22.61
Violet Spruce	Event Points	772	783	519	690	601	421	788
	Total Points	772	1555	2074	2764	3365	3786	4574
	Time/ Distance	16.01s	1.55m	9.46m	26.47s	5.25m	29.55m	2:29.38
Rose Willow	Event Points	713	678	494	757	628	468	701
	Total Points	713	1391	1885	2642	3270	3738	4439

4

- (a) Heather Palm took the lead in the first event and remained ahead overall the competition.
  - (i) Name the events in which Heather Palm was the top scorer.
  - (ii) Name an athlete who was top scorer in at least one of the other events.
- www.papaCambridge.com (b) With a highest score of 938 points (100m Hurdles) and a lowest score of 629 points (Shot Put), Heather Palm's scores had a range of 309 points.

[1]

[1]

- (i) Who had the largest range of scores?
- (ii) Who had the smallest range of scores?

Performance	Points	Performance	Points	Performance	Points
1.75m	916	1.60m	736	1.45m	566
1.74m	903	1.59m	724	1.44m	555
1.73m	891	1.58m	712	1.43m	544
1.72m	879	1.57m	701	1.42m	534
1.71m	867	1.56m	689	1.41m	523
1.70m	855	1.55m	678	1.40m	512
1.69m	842	1.54m	666	1.39m	502
1.68m	830	1.53m	655	1.38m	491
1.67m	818	1.52m	644	1.37m	481
1.66m	806	1.51m	632	1.36m	470
1.65m	795	1.50m	621	1.35m	460
1.64m	783	1.49m	610	1.34m	449
1.63m	771	1.48m	599	1.33m	439
1.62m	759	1.47m	588	1.32m	429
1.61m	747	1.46m	577	1.31m	419

(c) This is part of the table used to convert performances to points in the High Jump:

When the results were published, one of the athletes realised that she had been given an incorrect points score in the High Jump, and she lodged an appeal.

- (i) Which athlete appealed? [1]
- (ii) What was her final overall position after the results had been corrected? [2]
- (d) Ivy Birch was disappointed not to be victorious. She blamed her failure on a Long Jump performance that fell considerably short of her personal best.

Based on the evidence in the results summary, suggest the minimum distance in the Long Jump that would have enabled her to win the Heptathlon. [2]

5

- 3 (a) Analyse the structure of the argument in Document 1, identifying its main con reasons, as well as any intermediate conclusions and counter-arguments.
- www.papaCambridge.com (b) Read Documents 2 and 3. Evaluate the strengths and/or weaknesses, and any underlying assumptions, in Lee's a Tan's reasoning in **Document 3**. You do not need to compare Lee's and Tan's arguments but you do need to evaluate each person's argument as a response to the question posed to them. [6]
  - (c) Construct your own well-reasoned case arguing for whether you would or would not accept limits to free speech. Your response should:
    - refer to Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in Document 4.
    - critically comment on some or all of Documents 1-5.
    - have a clear conclusion.

[20]

#### **Free Speech Absolute**

www.papaCambridge.com Some people say, in defence of new 'hate laws', that it is important to balance 'freedom of expression with 'freedom to live without hate' - one freedom cannot be seen to have priority over the other. What rubbish! By introducing these new laws, the assertion of one freedom's priority over the other is exactly what some 'democratic' countries are doing.

We should never enter into debates about what is 'free speech' and what is 'hate speech'. It used to be that freedom of speech and expression took priority over all other freedoms, because the United Nations (UN) Charter of Human Rights enshrined it in Article 19, a long time before any 'hate laws' were introduced. However, freedom of expression has increasingly been seen as an unsustainable concept, in need of revision. Many countries have given 'hate laws' precedence over the right to 'free speech'. To deny free speech, on the grounds that certain speech makes people uncomfortable, is not protecting freedom; it is denying some people one of their basic human rights.

Governments are actually seeking fascist control over people. Some countries have laws stating that speech which has "the possibility to create contempt or ill will" is not judged to be 'freedom of expression', and is punishable by law. The UN charter states that every free citizen is entitled to have freedom of opinion and expression without interference. But the law in these countries says that if what you say is upsetting someone else, they have the right not only to silence you, but to punish you as well. Can it be right that the laws of 'democratic' governments trample all over the entitlement to free speech enshrined in the UN Charter for Human Rights?

When people write politically incorrect statements in those countries which have forfeited the right to unabridged free speech, they get accused of 'hate speech'. They are then paraded and convicted in ridiculous show trials, in which even the mildest statements are treated as having "the possibility to create contempt or ill-will". This is why it is so very important that we insist on unabridged freedom of expression.

Some people say that anyone who takes this viewpoint is promoting hate. This is not true - they do not promote hate, but promote the ideals that are integral to our preservation; and in the eyes of our fascist leaders, that is hate. The real haters are the people who hate freedom, for the first freedom all tyrants attack is the freedom of thought and expression. Tyrants fear 'free speech' as it is the number one threat to those in power who seek to oppress the individual. Many people are in favour of free speech and freedom of expression; that is why when governments start to decide what is 'freedom of expression', and what is "the possibility to create contempt", in order to suit their own political agenda, we should resist. As soon as any person or group starts to dictate what counts as 'free speech', and what counts as 'hate speech', the true concept of free speech is destroyed.

#### **Two Thinkers on Free Speech**

## **John Milton** (1608 – 1674)

In Areopagitica, arguing for freedom of the press, wrote<sup>1</sup>:

www.papaCambridge.com When God endowed the first man, Adam, with reason, he gave him freedom to choose; for to be able to exercise reasoning is to be able to make a choice. Otherwise Adam would have been a mere robot. We ourselves do not appreciate obedience being forced on us. God allowed Adam to be tested to make right choices, so that he would earn his right to be rewarded and praised.

Do you think you can remove vice by removing the matter of vice? You may take from a covetous man all his treasure, but he has yet one jewel left: you cannot deprive him of his covetousness<sup>2</sup>.

Suppose we would expel wrongdoing by suppression - we would suppress virtue as well.

We should not doubt the strength of Truth by resorting to restrictions and regulations (of the press) in order to suppress what we perceive as error. Let Truth and Falsehood grapple; who ever knew Truth defeated in a free and open encounter?

And if some people are spreading error as truth there is still no reason why we should not hear them out, debate and examine the matter thoroughly in open and free conference. If we try to silence them because we fear they are voicing new and dangerous opinions, even before we give them a proper hearing, it may turn out that they may have been right, and we in our zeal for right have wronged them.

Give me the liberty to know, to utter, and to argue freely according to conscience, above all liberties.

(Note: Although Milton believed free speech to be a basic human right, he would not extend it to the Roman Catholics whom he believed wanted England to be governed by the Pope, and thus sacrifice its national independence.)

#### John Stuart Mill (1806 - 1873)

In On Liberty he stated that even if only one person has a different opinion to the rest of the world, the rest of the world has no right to silence that one person, just as that one person has no right to silence the rest of the world.

However, Mill also placed an exception to free speech which is known as the Harm Principle. This provides that the only reason why free speech should be curbed by an external authority is to prevent harm or violation of rights to others. An example of this principle in action occurred in 1919, when a US Supreme court judge ruled that the right to free speech does not include the right to falsely shout "fire!" in a crowded theatre.

8

Paraphrase of Areopagitica

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Covetousness" - a greedy form of envy

Question: Should 'free speech' include 'hate' speeches?

www.papaCambridge.com Lee: No. Hate speech can incite people to acts of violence and hatred. Pornographic expressions a speeches can lead to rape, and degradation of women, and abuse of children. Speeches by extreme political and religious groups can lead to hate crimes, and threaten civil order.

If we include hate speeches in free speech we will end up forfeiting democracy, since right wing extremists, fundamentalists and terrorists will get the upper hand and decide how people should live their lives. We already have seen how such groups can wreak havoc in society, like the Ku Klux Klan in the USA, the IRA in Ireland, and the Taliban in Afghanistan.

Allowing hate speech would mean allowing the sinister propaganda of those who could threaten freedom in society. Even Milton, who advocated free speech, made an exception: he would not extend freedom of speech and expression to the Roman Catholics, whom he believed were opposed to England's political freedom.

This shows that free speech is an ideal and can never be achieved in real life.

Tan: Yes. It is incorrect to say that there is a necessary link between speech acts and physical acts. It is likely that people who commit hate crimes will read hate speeches, and people who commit sex crimes are likely to watch pornography; but not necessarily the other way round. Just because people view pornography or read hate speeches does not mean they are therefore incited to commit anything they otherwise would not do.

If we allow governments to regulate free speech, in order to stop 'hate speech', we will soon have state tyranny forbidding freedom of speech and censorship of any expression which is opposed to the policies of the ruling body.

We should allow 'bad' views to be voiced rather than suppress them. Exposing pornography, hate speeches and ideological extremisms in public increases the likelihood that they will be discredited and defeated, rather than strengthened through persecution. This is Milton's argument from "Areopagitica" - that truth will combat error.

If he made an exception of the Roman Catholic minorities in his day, it was because they were against democracy and wanted the Pope's rule extended to England. Free speech is essential for the practice of democracy.

Articles from the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. (Ratified at the United Nations on 10 December 1948.)

## Article 18.

www.papaCambridge.com Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

## Article 19.

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

Excerpts from a press release from General UN Headquarters on Thursday, February 9th, 2006. The context is an interview with the Secretary General about a controversy following the publication of 12 editorial cartoons about the Prophet Mohammed in a Danish newspaper, which caused widespread protests throughout the Muslim world.

## SECRETARY-GENERAL URGES RESPONSIBILITY IN CARTOON ISSUE

At a press encounter this morning, the Secretary-General was asked about the recent publication of caricatures of the Prophet Mohammed, and, while he underlined his support for freedom of speech, he also pointed to the need to exercise responsibility and judgment. "Quite honestly," he said, "I cannot understand why any editor will publish cartoons at this time, which inflames and pours oil on the fire."

At the same time, he reiterated that innocent civilians should not be attacked, and stressed that "violence must be condemned as unacceptable."

Asked whether the Secretary-General condemns the publication of the cartoons, the Spokesman said that the Secretary-General is a great believer in free speech and freedom of expression, which are enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Yet he noted that respect for religion is also protected in that Declaration, and that the two need not cancel each other out.

The Secretary-General, he noted, had reaffirmed his support for free speech while questioning why anyone would republish the caricatures at this delicate time.

The Spokesman said that the issue underscores the need for greater dialogue among people so that these misunderstandings do not occur.

## A List of 36 Independent Countries

	2009 Edition Civil Liberties 6▼ 3 5	
A List of 36 Independ	lent Countries	2009 Edition
Country	Political Rights	<b>Civil Liberties</b>
Afghanistan	5	6▼
Albania	3	3
Angola	6	
Australia	1	1
Brunei	6	5
Bulgaria	2▼	2
Burma	7	7
Canada	1	1
Central African Republic	5	5
China	7	6
Colombia	3	4▼
Cuba	7	6▲
Egypt	6	5
India	2	3
Iran	6	6
Japan	1	2
Jordan	5	5▼
Kenya	4	3
Libya	7	7
Malaysia	4	4
Mongolia	2	2
Morocco	5	4
New Zealand	1	1
Qatar	6	5
Russia	6	5
Saudi Arabia	7	6
Singapore	5	4
South Africa	2	2
South Korea	1	2
Sri Lanka	4	4
Taiwan	2	1
Thailand	5▲	4
United Arab Emirates	6	5
United States	1	1
Venezuela	4	4
Zimbabwe	7	6

Political Rights cover: free and fair electoral process; political pluralism; political participation; functioning of government.

Civil liberties cover: freedom of expression & belief; associational and organizational rights; rule of law; personal and individual rights.

Ratings for political rights and civil liberties: On a scale of 1-7, 1 represents the most free and 7 the least free. The ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results. Arrows up ▲ or down ▼ indicate a positive or negative change in political rights, civil liberties, or status since the last survey.

(Statistics gathered from a survey by Freedom House [USA].)



**BLANK PAGE** 

Copyright Acknowledgements:

Document 4 Document 5 www.un.org/News/ossg/hitites/hitites\_arch\_ciew.asp?HighID=496.
www.freedomhouse.org.

Permission to reproduce items where third-party owned material protected by copyright is included has been sought and cleared where possible. Every reasonable effort has been made by the publisher (UCLES) to trace copyright holders, but if any items requiring clearance have unwittingly been included, the publisher will be pleased to make amends at the earliest possible opportunity.

University of Cambridge International Examinations is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of