

Cambridge International Examinations

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary Level

FRENCH LANGUAGE 8682/31

Paper 3 Essay

October/November 2016

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



This document consists of 2 printed pages.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International AS Level – October/November 2016	8682	31

Language (out of 24)	Content (out of 16)		
21–24 Very good	14–16 Very good		
Confident use of complex sentence patterns;	Detailed, clearly relevant and well-illustrated;		
generally accurate, extensive vocabulary; good	coherently argued and structured.		
sense of idiom.			
16–20 Good	11–13 Good		
Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of	Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some		
quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some	ability to develop argument and draw		
attempt at varied vocabulary.	conclusions.		
10–15 Adequate	7–10 Adequate		
A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured;	Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a		
some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of	more limited capacity to argue.		
idiom.			
5–9 Poor	3–6 Poor		
Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence	Some attempt at argument, tends to be sketchy		
patterns with persistent errors; limited	or unspecific; little attempt to structure an		
vocabulary.	argument; major misunderstanding of question.		
0–4 Very poor	0–2 Very poor		
Only the simplest sentence patterns, little	Vague and general, ideas presented at random.		
evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited			
vocabulary.			