UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

# MARK SCHEME for the November 2005 question paper

# 8682 FRENCH LANGUAGE (AS LEVEL) 9716 FRENCH (A LEVEL)

8682/03, 9716/03

Paper 3 (Essay)

www.papacambridge.com

Maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the *Report on the Examination*.

The minimum marks in these components needed for various grades were previously published with these mark schemes, but are now instead included in the Report on the Examination for this session.

• CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the November 2005 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

|        |                            |            | 100.02 |
|--------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| Page 1 | Mark Scheme                | Syllabus   | PA 9   |
|        | A/AS LEVEL – NOVEMBER 2005 | 8682, 9716 | 3      |

|        |   |        | Syllabus Pa<br>005 8682, 9716 3<br>Content (out of 16)<br>Very good  |
|--------|---|--------|--|
| Page 1 | Mark Schem  |        | Syllabus Pa  |
|        | A/AS LEVEL – NOVEI  | MBER 2 | 005   8682, 9716   3   |
|        | Language (out of 24)  |        | Content (out of 16)  |
| 21-24  | <ul> <li>Very good</li> <li>Confident use of complex<br/>sentence patterns, generally<br/>accurate, extensive vocabulary,<br/>good sense of idiom.</li> </ul> | 14-16  | <b>Very good</b><br>Detailed, clearly relevant and well<br>illustrated; coherently argued and<br>structured.   |
| 16-20  | <b>Good</b><br>Generally sound grasp of<br>grammar in spite of quite a few<br>lapses; reads reasonably; some<br>attempt at varied vocabulary.                 | 11-13  | <b>Good</b><br>Sound knowledge and generally<br>relevant; some ability to develop<br>argument and draw conclusions.  |
| 10-15  | Adequate<br>A tendency to be simple, clumsy<br>or laboured; some degree of<br>accuracy; inappropriate use of<br>idiom.  | 7-10   | Adequate<br>Some knowledge, but not always<br>relevant; a more limited capacity<br>to argue.   |
| 5-9    | <b>Poor</b><br>Consistently simple or pedestrian<br>sentence patterns with persistent<br>errors; limited vocabulary.  | 3-6    | <b>Poor</b><br>Some attempt at argument, tends<br>to be sketchy or unspecific; little<br>attempt to structure an argument;<br>major misunderstanding of<br>question. |
| 1-4    | <b>Very poor</b><br>Only the simplest sentence<br>patterns, little evidence of<br>grammatical awareness, very<br>limited vocabulary.                          | 1-2    | <b>Very poor</b><br>Vague and general, ideas<br>presented at random.   |

|         |  | 42                                 |       |
|---------|--|------------------------------------|-------|
|         |  | ANAN, Daba                         |       |
|         |  | Star.                              |       |
| Page 2  | Mark Scheme                                    | Syllabus Pa 🥱                      |       |
|         | A/AS LEVEL – NOVEMBER 2005                     | 8682, 9716 3 0.                    |       |
|         | 1. QUALITY OF LANGUAGE                         | Syllabus Partition<br>8682, 9716 3 | 10.00 |
|         | ACCURACY                                       |                                    |       |
| Accurat | e use of grammatical constructions, ending, sp | elling, genders etc.               |       |

#### **1. QUALITY OF LANGUAGE**

## ACCURACY

| Very Good | Consistently accurate. More errors towards lower end of range, but of minor significance. Correct productive use of all GCSE grammar and, in addition, accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word-order).                   |
|-----------|--|
| Good      | Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of<br>the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Correct productive use of<br>all GCSE grammar, and some capacity to use accurately more<br>complex structures.                            |
| Adequate  | Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Basic verb constructions mastered, but not always clear that GCSE grammar can be used productively. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.                       |
| Poor      | Persistent errors in tense and verb forms; prepositions frequently incorrect. Evident that there are considerable limitations to the productive use of GCSE grammar, and no ability to go beyond that threshold.   |
| Very Poor | Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated errors in gender, spelling, verb form etc. No ability for productive use of GCSE grammar. Most sentences contain at least one basic mistake. |

#### FLUENCY

Quality and sophistication of syntax, sentence structure, but not its accuracy.

| Very Good | Confident use of complex sentence patterns and linguistic structures<br>and idiom. Uses language articulately and fluently, with at least the<br>beginnings of a sense of style.   |
|-----------|--|
| Good      | Quite ambitious in use of complex sentence pattern, though not<br>always successful in choosing the appropriate pattern to maintain<br>style. Genuine effort to rise to the demands of fluent expression. Has<br>not quite the flair and confidence of the best candidates, but essay<br>reads easily. |
| Adequate  | Expression rather forced, a little clumsy, perhaps somewhat anglicised<br>but with some attempt at variety. Writing is coherent and sequential,<br>but there is a limited range of sentence patterns with no real sense of<br>style; the general impression that it is worthy but rather laboured.     |
| Poor      | Almost all sentence patterns are simple, with little use of subordinate clauses. Considerable degree of anglicism. Expression very forced and pedestrian.  |
| Very Poor | Only able to produce the simplest sentence patterns. No subordinate clauses. Lack of coherent and fluent expression in the language. Many examples of anglicism/translatese.   |

|                  | 4   |                               |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|
|                  | 334   |                               |
|                  |   | ANNA Papaca                   |
| Page 3           | Mark Scheme   | Syllabus Para                 |
|                  | A/AS LEVEL – NOVEMBER 2005  | 8682, 9716 3 76               |
|                  | RANGE   | Syllabus P<br>8682, 9716 3    |
| Credit for range | e of vocabulary and idiom etc. but penalty for ill-fi<br>phrases. | itting accumulations of essay |
| Very Good        | Extensive vocabulary, appropriately use                           | d. Very good sense of idiom.  |

#### RANGE

| Very Good | Extensive vocabulary, appropriately used. Very good sense of idiom.<br>Some use of pre-learned expressions, but well integrated into the<br>whole.  |
|-----------|---|
| Good      | Varied and interesting vocabulary, nearly always appropriately used.<br>Some evidence of appreciation of idiom, and some ability to integrate<br>pre-learned items of idiom into the whole.   |
| Adequate  | Some variation in choice of vocabulary, ability to use synonyms etc.<br>Some use of less common words but not always appropriate or correct<br>use. No real appreciation of idiom, and a high level of use of pre-<br>learned items not fully digested. |
| Poor      | Frequent repetition of common words. Little variety of vocabulary and no sense of idiomatic use. Occasional anglicisms.   |
| Very Poor | Very limited vocabulary. Frequent anglicisms and incorrect use of vocabulary. Pre-learned idioms not integrated into the remaining linguistic content.  |

|            |  | MANN. Papa                  |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Page 4     | Mark Scheme<br>A/AS LEVEL – NOVEMBER 2005        |                             |
|            | 2. CONTENT                                       | Syllabus Pi<br>8682, 9716 3 |
|            | INFORMATION/FOCUS/RELEVANC                       | CE I                        |
| Quality of | material, ability to make clear and relevant res | ponse to the question.      |

#### 2. CONTENT

#### INFORMATION/FOCUS/RELEVANCE

| Very Good | Detailed answer, showing extensive knowledge of the subject and a real understanding of the issues raised. Clearly relevant to the question, and supported by specific examples and references.   |
|-----------|---|
| Good      | Good points made, showing a sound knowledge of the subject and<br>some understanding of the issues. Generally maintains relevance to<br>the title, and supports the factual statements with a good range of<br>examples and references.   |
| Adequate  | Covers the basic factual content adequately, with a reasonable range<br>of examples. Despite the knowledge displayed, the material<br>presented is not always relevant to the question asked, and the<br>relationship of information presented to essay theme is not always<br>clear. |
| Poor      | Only limited knowledge of factual content, presented via<br>generalisations with little specific reference. Makes some relevant<br>points, but there are major omissions in the treatment of the subject,<br>and a tendency to pad.   |
| Very Poor | Only generalised statements of a vague kind, with limited reference to the title set, or even no real attempt to answer the actual question. Very sketchy.  |

## **ORGANISATION/STRUCTURE/ARGUMENT**

Ability to use paragraphs, introduce and develop ideas, and to draw conclusions.

| Very Good | Well-planned and structured essay with a clear introduction to the theme, a coherent argument and the ability to evaluate material and draw conclusions.  |
|-----------|---|
| Good      | Competent structure to the essay, with logical progression of ideas in paragraphs. Some ability to develop an argument and draw conclusions.  |
| Adequate  | Ideas generally quite well structured and a limited ability to organise<br>into paragraphs and develop the argument. Limited capacity to draw<br>conclusions from the material presented.         |
| Poor      | Little attempt to structure the work. There may be some sequence in the ideas and facts presented, but in general a weakness in paragraphing and no real build up of an argument to a conclusion. |
| Very Poor | Ideas presented at random. Sequence illogical, with no introduction, no development of an argument and no ability to draw conclusions.  |