## General comments

Once again, candidates from many regions have entered this examination, and it is notable that the vast majority of centres have produced audible recordings and a suitable sample of candidates to enable international standardisation of assessment. Teacher/examiners are to be congratulated on their efforts to encourage candidates to do their best and then to assess them appropriately, even though they may themselves have only a few candidates and therefore a limited range of ability. In general, assessment has been carried out very consistently, which is of immense value in the moderation process.

Though the majority of recordings varied from good to excellent, it is still the case that, before the examination, thought needs to be given to the position of the microphone. In some instances, the introduction to the tape was excellent, but when the exam started, it was clear that the examiner and candidate were in a different position relative to the microphone: candidates were generally (though not always) audible, but this was not always the case for examiners, who sometimes sounded distant and muffled. Teacher/examiners are fortunately aware that candidates tend to speak more quietly, and it was not unusual to hear candidates encouraged to speak up, or come closer to the microphone.

It is important to observe the timings of the examination: 3 to $31 / 2$ minutes for the Presentation, 7 to 8 minutes for the Topic conversation, and 8 to 9 minutes for the General conversation - approximately 20 minutes per candidate. Candidates who continue with their presentation for longer than $31 / 2$ minutes should be interrupted by the examiner. Keeping to these timings will ensure that 2 examinations will fit on one side of a 90 minute cassette. In the interests of fairness to candidates, a maximum of 2 candidates should be recorded per side of a 90 minute cassette, and only one per side of a 60 minute cassette - starting the exam of an additional candidate and then being obliged to turn the cassette in the middle may disturb the candidate and cause the loss of parts of the exam.

It is noticeable that the performance of candidates obliged to sustain an examination of longer than 20 minutes often deteriorates, and the examiner is left with an overall impression which may not be a true reflection of the candidate's ability.

Where there are fewer than 6 candidates in a Centre, the examinations of all candidates should be sent: where there are more than 6 , a sample of 6 candidates should be sent. Their marks should be spread as evenly as possible across the range of all the candidates. This sample should be accompanied by the Moderator's copy of the MS1 and a copy of the Working Mark Sheet. There should be a mark entered in each column of the WMS (see mark scheme in the syllabus booklet) and where candidates do not ask questions in one or other conversation section, a zero should be entered on the WMS in the final column for that section. The mark scheme contains no half marks, so only whole numbers should appear on the WMS: in the case of the halving of the Content/Presentation mark for lack of reference to France or a francophone culture, the mark should be rounded up to the next whole number.

## Presentation

Candidates chose a good variety of topics, ranging from linguistic and cultural choices, to more general social problems. In the majority of cases, they made clear reference to France or a francophone culture, but there are still a few instances of a candidate choosing a topic such as La Drogue and then only talking about the situation in his or her own country. Teachers preparing candidates for this examination must make sure that candidates are aware of the syllabus requirements and advise them accordingly. Where there is no connection with France or a francophone culture, the mark for Content/Presentation must be halved.

## Topic and general conversation

Teacher/examiners are aware that encouraging a candidate to talk or take part in a conversation $r$ great deal of skill on their part. If examiners ask a long and complicated question, the candidate's an likely to be short. If they ask a factual question, again the answer may be short, or may consist mere oui/non. The art, for an examiner, lies in asking candidates for additional information, for reasons ane justification, without intruding into the conversation too much themselves, and allowing candidates the maximum possible time to express and develop their ideas and opinions. Examiners may need to remember to restrict their own contributions, particularly when responding to questions asked by candidates.

Examiners should try to aim for a real conversation with candidates - there is not necessarily going to be a "right" answer to questions the examiner asks and it is important that candidates be given the opportunity to express their own ideas, which may, in fact, be in direct variance to those of the examiner.

The General conversation is not intended to be a swift gallop through every topic area studied during the course, and candidates in a Centre should certainly not all be asked the same questions. What is needed is some sort of interaction between examiner and candidate. Instead of just a series of questions followed by a response from the candidate, then a question on a different topic, and so on, the examiner should follow up the original response and explore the candidate's ideas - better the development of 2 or 3 topic areas in depth than superficial practised responses to 10 or more questions.

It is helpful to both candidate and Moderator to know when the examiner moves on from the Topic conversation to the General conversation. That is the point at which, where a candidate has not asked any questions, he/she should be prompted to do so, and similarly at the end of the General conversation. It is often difficult for a nervous and polite candidate to ask a question to an examiner unprompted! Candidates need to be aware that they should try to ask questions which are relevant to the topic under discussion. It is easier for them to prepare questions they might ask about the material in their presentation: questions in the General conversation can be a real test, and difficult for a candidate to formulate. Many opt for the simple ...Et que pensez-vous, Monsieur/Madame? To score the maximum for this element of the examination, candidates need to be able to use an accurate range of question forms (for criteria, see the mark scheme for Speaking in the syllabus booklet).

Overall, there were few clerical errors in the addition of marks, examinations were well conducted and assessment was carried out in a very conscientious way - for approximately a third of all Centres entered, no adjustment to their marking was required.
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## General comments

The overall performance was satisfactory. The best candidates gave accurate succinct answers in fluent French whilst the weaker candidates found difficulty in re-forming information and often wrote at great length. There were few very weak candidates who found the comprehension of the texts and writing accurately in French too difficult.

It was very encouraging to note that only a few candidates failed to complete all the questions. Usually candidates managed their time well and did not copy the question as part of their answer.

Lifting phrases and whole sentences from the text in answer to a question remains a problem. The rubric quite clearly states that vous devez répondre sans copier mot à mot des phrases entières du texte. Candidates may use material from the text in their answers but in such a way as to show understanding of the text. Copying wholesale from the text does not demonstrate comprehension and will not score any marks. As a general rule a sequence of five words taken from the text will count as copying. Even quite small changes will show that the candidate can handle the ideas as well as the language. The candidate may extend the quoted material or include a short phrase of two or three words in a sentence.

The quality of language marks are given globally for the whole performance on a set of answers to questions. There must necessarily be a link between content and quality of language. If individual questions score 0 for content, the final mark for language will be adjusted accordingly.

Q5 carries 20 marks and it is important that candidates approach it correctly. It consists of two tasks; firstly a summary of specific points made from the original texts in 90 to 100 words and secondly a personal response to the text in 40 to 50 words. A general essay written in response to the first part is likely to get a low mark for it is unlikely to make the specific points required.

It is important that candidates observe the word limit which is 140 words for the whole of Q5. Only 10 extra words are allowed beyond the limit. Candidates will not be awarded marks if they make the content points or personal response after the word limit. Where an examiner thinks the word limit has exceeded 140 words the actual words are counted.

A number of candidates use bullet points to illustrate the points that they were making for content. Although the content marks were awarded, the language mark may be reduced if no verbs are used to express the ideas and the sentences lack fluency.

## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

This question was generally well answered and candidates had little difficulty finding correct alternatives for dictionary definitions. Minor copying errors were tolerated. Most candidates followed the rubric which stated Trouvez dans le texte le mot ou l'expression qui correspond le plus exactement. Where mistakes did occur they usually resulted from adding an extra element to the word that was being sought. Item 1(a) was an example of this where many candidates answered devait viser instead of viser. Items (a), (d) and (e) were well answered. In item (c) many candidates answered with a verb instead of a noun e.g. revivre or faire revivre and where the noun régénération was given, no definite article was required. Likewise in item (e) it was incorrect to add de to the phrase mettre sur pied. A number of candidates incorrectly gave organiser as the answer.

## Question 2

Item 2(a) was generally well handled and candidates came up with several variations such as la pr de Pierre de Coubertin en 1887 était que.... ; la proposition que Pierre de Coubertin a faite était que proposition de Pierre de Coubertin en 1887 a été d'intégrer.... . Where candidates tried to use a precec direct object, the agreement was usually missed.

Item 2(b) was generally well answered.
Item 2(c) required the use of the subjunctive after il est possible que.... and this proved to be too difficult for most candidates. Where candidates did manage a subjunctive the present soit was used or the circumflex on fût was missed.

Item 2(d) was generally well done although the verb attracted a few errors such as a singular auxiliary, the pluperfect or agreement on the past participle.

Item 2(e) proved to be too difficult for all but the best candidates. Few realised that the conditional was required and where it was used, it was often in the plural instead of the first person singular or in the conditional perfect.

## Question 3

This set of questions was generally well answered but a lot of lifting of phrases from the texts was noted. It should be remembered that copying sections of the text, even if they include the correct information, does not demonstrate understanding and therefore does not score marks. Candidates must show that they can alter texts to provide the correct answer.

Item 3(a) was well answered with candidates clearly understanding that they had to explain the quotation in their own words. Some candidates were extremely concise, giving correct alternatives for each of the three parts of the quotation - réduire le stress à l'école. Some candidates tried to use faire face à in place of faire obstacle but ran into grammatical difficulties with the relative pronoun.

Item 3(b) was generally well answered but attracted a lot of copying especially of le développement du corps et de l'esprit where a simple change to développer le corps et l'esprit would have scored 2 marks. In addition some attempt to explain harmonieux was needed. A fourth point was available for saying that sport should play an important part in education.

Item 3(c) was quite well answered and again required the re-working of a quotation. Most candidates offered le sport aide or grâce au sport for 1 point but then failed to provide an alternative for l'humain. The idea of reaching one's potential was well done but sur tous les plans needed unravelling, saying how they contributed to development such as physically, intellectually, emotionally or giving examples such as working in a team or accepting defeat or victory.

Item 3(d) was well handled though there was a great deal of copying from the text. Some candidates missed the point scoring altogether; others were careless in offering the wrong year whilst others managed to say there was a meeting but failed to add where it was held.

Item 3(e) proved to be quite difficult and produced a lot of guessing. Many candidates managed to say that the Olympic Games started in Greece and some went on to explain correctly that the Olympic Committee wanted to pay homage to the original games. Some, however, thought that de Coubertin was born or lived in Greece or that Greece was a nice place so that it was a good choice; others thought that the ruins of the ancient site meant that Greece had good facilities to hold the Games.

## Question 4

This text proved to be very difficult and many resorted to copying from the text to try to score marks. This resulted in very long answers in which candidates tried to cover as much material as possible in the hope of including some of the correct information.

Item 4(a) was quite well answered. This question brought a lot of copying about ceremonies generally candidates made the point clearly enough to score a point. Many candidates managec realised that there was a link to ancient times but often simply copied the whole phrase from the text any re-working. Only a few candidates succeeded in giving the fourth possible answer of sens spirituel.

Item 4(b) was quite well answered but again copying was a problem. Some candidates misunderstood the question taking compromis to be compris thus changing the meaning of the question. Most candidates identified that drugs were a problem but only very few managed to explain the term ambivalence although some re-worked amateurs et professionnels even though it was often spelled professionnelles.

Item 4(c) was quite well answered with many candidates scoring 2 out of the 3 marks. Most said that de Coubertin wanted to unite countries and to bring peace. They could have gone on to say that the Olympic Games could allow countries to know each other better, understand each other's culture and develop friendships. A further point could have been made for indicating that the games could take place in a peaceful setting.

Item 4(d) was again quite well answered especially the conflicts, whether political or economic, and problems made by the media, all of which prevented the establishment of peace. However, the quotation l'idéal pacifiste was not well done. Pacifiste was often misunderstood as referring to the Pacific Ocean.

Item 4(e) was a difficult question and most candidates managed only 1 point for identifying that the press sought to concentrate on values contrary to the Olympic ideal such as celebrity and making stars of athletes. Some of the best candidates managed to include the influence of money and how sponsors were able to use their influence. Few mentioned cheating, drug taking, winning at all costs or equality of opportunity and taking part being more important than winning.

## Question 5

This question is designed to make the candidates summarise the main issues of the two passages and then to reflect on them giving their own views. The summary should consist of 90 to 100 words which can gain 10 marks and the personal response of 40 to 50 words which attracts a maximum of 5 marks. The two together give a maximum number of words between 140 and 150 words and examiners will not mark beyond this limit. The task is aimed at conciseness.

It was interesting to note that some candidates began their answer to $\mathbf{Q 5}$ with a personal response. There is no reason why they should not do this but they should remember that the personal response can gain only 5 marks and if they write more than 40 to 50 words, they are reducing the limit for the summary which is worth 10 marks.

Q5 does not need an introduction or a re-statement of the question. Because of the restrictions on the number of words that candidates can use, it is important that they begin immediately on identifying point scoring information. The two areas indicated for the summary were les idéaux olympiques and les conflits qui s'opposent à ces idéaux. Candidates handled the conflits very well, pointing out examples such as drug taking, the ambiguity between amateur and professional, political problems and the media - sometimes the sponsors were mentioned and their need for profit and publicity. The idéaux were less well covered although most candidates offered ideas such as uniting countries, peace, creating a great sporting event and an idealised concept of the human being but could have added answers such as destroying racial prejudice, amateurism, the development of body and mind and improving the lot of poor countries. Many candidates wasted words on the history of De Coubertin's attempts to revive the Olympic Games.

The personal response gives the candidate the chance to express their feelings on the topic and this is the place for general comments.

The quality of language for $\mathbf{Q 5}$ is marked out of 5 according to the grid given in the mark scheme. The mark is allocated on the 140 to 150 words written about the summary and the personal response. A candidate who writes less than 120 words cannot expect to score the full language mark. The quality of language was generally good but mistakes on spellings, genders, plurals and adjectives were common. There is still some doubt in some candidates' mind over the use of se and ce, son and sont as well as on and ont. The use of faire face à with relative pronouns was weak as was the use of se servir de. Les Jeux Olympiques were often followed by a singular verb or feminine plural pronouns. Faire partie de was often misused and there were more anglicisms in evidence this year.

Paper 8682/03
Essay

## General comments

Candidates were given a choice of six questions, one each on the following topics: Aspects contemporains de la francophonie, Les jeunes, L'orde public, La vie urbaine et rurale, Le sport, and L'innovation technologique. The essays were marked out of 40 , with 24 marks for Language and 16 for Content. Overall the level of achievement was slightly higher than last year with a good number of scores in the mid to upper thirties.

The strongest candidates produced well structured, relevant and detailed essays couched in accurate and fluent French revealing breadth and depth of vocabulary. Towards the bottom of the range answers were characterised by a lack of structure, little development of ideas and too much emphasis on generalisation. Here, essential topic-specific vocabulary was frequently lacking and the scripts of numerous candidates were marred by careless, elementary errors that could have been greatly reduced if candidates had carried out a more thorough and systematic revision of what they had written. Introductions and conclusions tended to be rather pedestrian and contrived, being pointed at the general topic rather than at the specific question under discussion.

It was however pleasing to see that this year most candidates observed the rubric on the number of words to be used, although some exceeded the recommended number, though not greatly so. Only a very small minority wrote excessively long essays.

Common linguistic problems included:

- Misspellings of: contrôler, polluer, agressif, agressivité, autorité, pilier, traffic, entraîner, blamer, prôner, athlète, alcool, vengeance, dépendance, problème. ressources, chacun, caractère;
- Confusion/misuse of: vers/environ, entre/chez (les jeunes), ce qui/ceux qui, servi/utilisé,mal/mauvais, donc/dont, où/ou, ces/c'est, ces/ses, leur/leurs, tandis que/pendant que, meilleur/mieux, cela/ceux-la;
- Constructions: ils sont défendus de sortir, permettre leurs enfants à, tel comme, l'obésité peut être réduite, entre onze à quinze ans;
- Omission of ne, particularly when followed by que;
- Gender of important, commonplace nouns: pollution, problème, crime, acte, manque, valeur, monde, chômage, loi, punition;
- Confusion about which pronoun/adjective to use in conjunction with on.
- Failure to link a verb to its subject: Ce manque de voleurs morales entraînent...;
- Past participles used instead of infinitives and vice-versa: II faut donné, Ils ont donner,
- Government of verbs: permettre leurs enfants, obéir les parents, commencer fumer.


## Comments on specific questions

## Question 1

This question was the least popular and attracted only few candidates. Most who attempted it did so with a reasonable degree of success, though many candidates restricted their reference to only one Frenchspeaking country. There was a tendency to point out the increasing dominance of the United States as an economic power and to stress the ever-growing use of the English language throughout the world, which some candidates regretted, though recognising its inevitability. Most candidates outlined the influence of certain aspects of American culture and pointed out that it seems to be young people who embrace it the most readily, even though they recognised the fact that certain traditions in the French-speaking world would inevitably be eroded. Fashion, music, the cinema, fast food outlets, computers, communications were amongst aspects of the American culture considered.

## Question 2

This proved to be a popular question and attracted candidates across the ability range. Many candr however, either ignored or had difficulty with the wording of the question. The underlined words in La socn sous-estime l'importance des jeunes were in very many cases variously interpreted as 'my parents', 'the older generation', 'teachers', and 'underestimates young people', 'does not understand young people/me', 'does not show us/me enough respect', 'does not trust us/me to make decisions'. This led to many what appeared to be pre-learned essays on the generation gap which, more often than not, listed aspects of contemporary teenage culture which are not appreciated by older people, such as fashion, piercing, tattoos, music, smoking, staying out late, having a boy/girlfriend.

Stronger candidates, however, succeeded in focusing more clearly on the question and in being more objective. Some very pertinent points were made. The amount of money that most societies are prepared to devote to the improvement of educational standards and facilities, the work of UNICEF, the fact that many countries have a Minister responsible for opportunities given to young people, re-habilitation Centres for young offenders, encouragement in sport were but some of references made in order to show that society does indeed not underestimate the importance of this age group. Such essays were on the whole better structured and more convincingly argued than the more predominantly subjective ones.

## Question 3

This was another popular question and on the whole was dealt with more successfully than Question 2. Weaker candidates chose to ignore the phrase en grande partie and to limit their response to a list of ways in which the media are responsible for negative aspects of young people's behaviour, often with some degree of exaggeration. Television, the cinema, video games, even cartoons, and the Internet were portrayed almost exclusively as purveyors and encouragers of violence in its many forms (e.g. pornography, tobacco and drug abuse). However, some candidates attempted to counterbalance this view by pointing out the more positive effects of the media on society such as providing information on healthy living, the environment and presenting informed comments on politics.

The stronger candidates did address the notion en grande partie, and took into account other factors in addition to the media responsible for delinquency such as poverty, unemployment, lack of education, broken families, parents as poor role-models, peer-group pressure, lack of prospects, the decreasing influence of religion in some societies. Many pointed out the need for parents to take more responsibility for that their children watch and to make sure that they develop strong moral values. Essays taking into account some of these issues almost invariably were better structured and argued, with a clear line of thought leading to a relevant conclusion.

## Question 4

This was quite a popular question. Most candidates realised that they were to discuss urban life; however, many had difficulty in focusing on illusoires, either ignoring it altogether or misinterpreting its meaning. Weaker candidates restricted themselves to listing the advantages and disadvantages of town life and country life, with little analysis or argument. Only the stronger candidates saw the need to point out the difference between expectation and reality, to analyse the expectations of those who are attracted to large towns, and the reasons for this. These tended to be a glowing, idealised picture of urban life painted in the media, a misguided, over-optimistic view of the opportunities to earn more money than in rural areas and the failure of people who have moved into towns to admit that they made a mistake when they are confronted with some of the harsher realities of town living. Quite a number of candidates fell into the trap of listing good reasons for living in towns if one has a well-paid job; their cultural attractions, better-equipped schools, better medical facilities, public transport and their wide range of shops and entertainments.

Unfortunately, quite a number of candidates then tried to point out that these attractions are rendered illusory by the negative aspects of life in many large towns (e.g. the high cost of living, the lack of green spaces, overpopulation, a high crime rate, unemployment, grim suburbs, pollution and stress). However, some candidates did go on to point out that if people had been aware of these drawbacks before thinking about moving from the country into a town, they would not have been so disillusioned once they had made the move. In this way some candidates managed to get their argument back into focus.

## Question 5

This question attracted by far the greatest number of candidates across the ability range. Most of the looked at both the positive and negative effects of sport in the world, and the vast majority came conclusion that it is certainly still predominantly a force for good.

Quite a number of candidates started their essays with a rather long introduction consisting of lists of sports and sporting activities and/ or a prepared and not always accurately reproduced definition of what the word means, thus using up quite a high proportion of the words allocated to the task. Most underlined the important contribution that sport can make in one's personal life in terms of healthy living, fighting obesity, increasing self-confidence, co-operation with others, a controlled spirit of competitiveness and respect of one's opponent/s. Candidates usually went on to look at sport as a force for good from a broader perspective: meeting and developing respect for people from other nations, of different colour, different religions and different political systems. Sport was described here as a dissolver of prejudice.

Having considered sport from the positive angle, the majority of candidates went on to look at its negative side. Issues discussed, often illustrated with examples and references, included the use of artificial stimulants, cheating, bribery, match-fixing, over-commercialisation, disrespect of match officials.

On the whole, paragraphing was usually sound and in the majority of cases, the conclusion was usually more clearly related to the argument than was the case in some other topic areas.

## Question 6

This was also quite a popular question. Many appreciated the moral issues involved, though not all, some candidates addressing the notion trop cher as très cher. Weaker essays tended to outline technological advances in various areas such as information technology, the exploration of space, transport, and then tried to show that these were expensive to develop (often providing no statistics to back up their argument). Such essays struggled to produce a convincing conclusion.

Stronger candidates considered such issues as the cost of the environment in terms of pollution in its various forms, i.e. the quality of the food we eat, the decline in family and social life, the evermore frenetic pace of life, robotisation contributing to unemployment. Other areas explored here included the possible proliferation of nuclear weapons and moral issues involved with cloning and genetic engineering, some very strong arguments being produced by candidates addressing these issues

