

MARK SCHEME for the June 2005 question paper

8682 FRENCH LANGUAGE (AS LEVEL) 9716 FRENCH (A LEVEL)

8682/03, 9716/03

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

www.papaCambridge.com


This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the *Report on the Examination*.

• CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2005 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Grade thresholds for Syllabus 9716 in the June 2005 examination.

	maximum	minimum mark required for grade:			
	mark available	A	В	Е	
Component 3	40	32	28	17	

The thresholds (minimum marks) for Grades C and D are normally set by dividing the mark range between the B and the E thresholds into three. For example, if the difference between the B and the E threshold is 24 marks, the C threshold is set 8 marks below the B threshold and the D threshold is set another 8 marks down. If dividing the interval by three results in a fraction of a mark, then the threshold is normally rounded down.

Boundaries for 8682 AS Language are lower than for the A level syllabus.

June 2005



GCE AS/A LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 40

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 8682/03, 9716/03

FRENCH (Essay)

age 1	Mark Schen A/AS LEVEL EXAMINATIO			Syllabus P. 8682, 9716
		113 - 30		
Language (out of 24)		Content (out of 16)		
21-24 Very good Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.		14-16	Syllabus P NE 2005 8682, 9716 3 Content (out of 16) Very good Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.	
16-20	Good Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.	11-13	Good Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.	
10-15	Adequate	7-10	Adequate	
	A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.		Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a more limited capacity to argue.	
5-9	Poor	3-6	Poor	
	Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.		to be sketchy attempt to str	ot at argument, tends / or unspecific; little ructure an argument; derstanding of
	Very poor	1-2	Very poor	
	Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.		Vague and g presented at	eneral, ideas random.