MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/43

Paper 4 (Alternative to coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



Р	age 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
De	pth Stuc	ly A: Germany 1918–1945		
1	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made	9.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. beneficial; built patriotism and confidence.	egalitarian	; [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g 'new skills', 'strong and healthy'; 'faith in the future', etc.	g. 'all social	classes'; [5–6]
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Increased membership; means for military training a popular aspects; became compulsory.	nd indoctrin	nation;
		No Not full youth membership even when compulsory; in success; resentment of some as too demanding.	doctrinatior	not total [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addr 'How far'.	esses the is	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information.	more detail	ed/gives [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is an eyewitness account and the they could both be biased/unreliable.	e other is Br	itish so [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross reference betwe reliability.	· · ·	
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two e.g. subs activities such as theatre tickets, sport; educational cours travel, etc.		
(ii)) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0		[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Lost jobs, wartime employment.		[1–2]
	 Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid a additional detail. e.g. removed to provide jobs for men and become mothe discrimination; 1 year labour service for under 25s, from 1939 compulsory; need for munitions' workers brought in 	ers; wage 1936 volunt	ary, and
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	on.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. fear of punishment, Gestapo etc.; divided, isolated g policies; effective propaganda.	•	
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes – consistent anti-semitism; no – total control.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims, single factor give Main Hitler's one consistent aim from 1920; Himmler etc increasing persecution/restrictions from 1933; escalation throughout conquered territory; increased despite cost, e	.; Aryan sup during war	•
	Other Only decided in 1942, Hitler not at Wannsee; Slav equally persecuted; another aim more important – e.g. o expansionism; total social control; dictatorship, etc.		
	Level 3 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims with multiple fact factors with multiple reasons.	tors. Allow s	ingle
	OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu – Balanced but Brief).	ument (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of main aim AND other aims must be addre	ssed.	[6–8]

Pa	age 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Dep	oth Stud	y B: Russia, 1905–1941		
2	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made	Э.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source. e., by disasters; having to change economic strategy.	g. Russia ha	as been hit [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. the disasters of war and ruin have disrupted industrial life and economic planning so that the Communist government must change strategy to a capitalist version of smaller industries.		
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Trotsky and Lenin defeated by Politburo who saw it civil war.	as vital tool	to win the
		No Discontent, military costs, Trotsky and Lenin tried to	change it.	[3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addr 'How far?'	esses the is	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information.		ed/gives [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is Lenin and the other is British s biased/unreliable.	so they could	d both be [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show		
		reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. General has unlimited authority; unsure he will use it with split with Trotsky; 'too rude', so should be removed as Se	n caution; da	anger of
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies views e.g. Socialism in One Country and World	Revolution.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes the views. Award an extra mark for each valid linked to each, and described in additional detail e.g. Sta concentrate on the development of communism in USSR Trotsky felt it was necessary to have a world revolution to and protect Russia.	lin wanted to to consolid	o ate;
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanatio	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason NEP smacked of capitalism; to establish his grip on the ereplace Leninism with Stalinism; to increase production for abroad for funds; to establish a truly socialist economy.	conomy; wa	anted to
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. No, it was because people did not like him.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of brilliance OR other reasons, single factor of Bril Trotsky's efforts in the war saved the revolution but n those who'd stayed behind – jealous or feared his popula Army; alliances developed behind his back, Zinoviev, Ka	nade enemie arity with the	Red
	Other Not popular because of his arrogance, sarcasm ar Menshevik and closeness to Lenin; jealous also of his ne Brest-Litovsk; machinations of Stalin, developing power b Secretary, etc.	egotiations le	eading to
	Level 3 – Explanation of brilliance OR other factors with multiple factors with multiple reasons.	ctors. Allow	single
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argu- Balanced but Brief).	ument (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of brilliance AND other reasons must be add	dressed.	[6–8]

Ρ	age 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
De	pth Stuc	ly C: The USA 1919–1941		
3	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source. e.g. had economic grounds for confidence; taking risks; puzzled.	greedy; fool	ish; [3–4]
		Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. prosperity; 'gamblers'; lure of quick profits; listening to r 'no-one knows'. etc.		
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Aware of pessimism; worried by effects of business cooperation with businesses and States; need to sustai avoid suffering; choice of language to reassure.	•	•
		No No worse than earlier market falls; pessimism unneo only 'hesitated'; cooperation only voluntary; confident m sufficient; rising agricultural prices.	•	
		Level 3 – Agrees and disagrees, supported from the source. Addr 'How far'.	esses the iss	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information		ed/gives [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is a journalist and the other is a year, so they could be biased/unreliable.	politician; fro	om same [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information giv information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation o Include at this Level answers that cross reference betwo reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid project to a maximum of two, e. g. Federal road building; Boulder Dam; flood control; harbours; Brooklyn Navy Yard; pub buildings.		
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Attacked by government troops; dispe	rsed.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. Republican Senate refused to agree Congress vote for immediate payment in June 1932; July – Hoover ordered camps demolish MacArthur's armed troops used tanks and teargas, burnt tents; riots; 2 marchers killed; dispersed; Democrat support; huge publicity, etc.		
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	equestion	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Policies themselves limited – by Republican resistance, 'rugged individualism commitment to balanced budgets; lowering taxes did not stimulate demand; raising tariffs counterproductive; cutting government expenditure did not restore confidence; sheer scale of problem – unemployment rose from 3% to		
	25% in 3 years; longstanding problems, etc.		[2–6]
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, no social security. No, FDR offered hope.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences, single far Despair Scale of unemployment; homelessness; begging relief insufficient; borrowers' and savers' losses; distrust 'prosperity just around the corner' did not reassure; treat lack of social security; remained for much of 1930s desp	g; charity an of banks; H ment of Bor	d state oover's nus Army;
	Other WSC affected relatively few and share prices bour middle/upper classes did not suffer as much as poor; WS weaknesses already evident by end of 1920s in agricultu etc.; loss of confidence rather than despair; positive effec November 1932 campaign and victory gave hope, etc.	SC not direc ire, over-pro	t cause of oduction,
	Level 3 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences with mult Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	iple factors	given.
	OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu- – Balanced but Brief).	iment. (ann	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. Both sides of despair AND other consequences must be	addressed.	[6–8]

				Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Depth S	Stud	y D: China, 1945–c.1990		
l (a)	(i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	equestion	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made	9.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g a leader; wants to match economic progress of other cou	••••	lified to be [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g of important posts in Party, has created supporters; awar neighbours such as Japan, etc.		
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	equestion	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Most ordinary Chinese probably agreed; accepts the useful.	e idea of inc	entives as
		No Some feared return to class injustice, unemployment 'probably' agreement so doubt remains about support.	and inflatio	n; only [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addre 'How far?'	esses the is	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information.	more detail	ed/gives [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – both are British but one quotes Deng biased/unreliable.	so they cou	ld both be [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross-reference betwe reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Award one mark for each valid Modernisation to a maxim agriculture; industry; science; defence.	num of two e	e.g. [1–2]
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. Western-style systems of pay, ca	pitalistic.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail, e.g. piece work; overtime and bonus payments; profit sharing; 'responsibility' payments in factories; tax incentives. [2–		
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Since 1978 there had been a reversal of many of the Cultural Revolution measures and opening up to world trade (IMF and World Bank) as Deng sought Western money and expertise; Chinese impatient for political freedoms; students' 1986 demonstration for Deng and modernisations; some concessions promised; argument in Politburo; in such numbers thought the Party could not refuse, etc. [2–6]		
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, much better off with Western	n goods.	[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack, single factor given e.g. Benefit Opening up to greater western contact, investment etc. had given Chinese, especially in cities, access to consumer goods, fashion, etc.; incentives at work increased personal wealth; more shops and jobs; competition in commerce reduced prices, etc.		D.;
	Lack Party's hold on power barely wavered; Deng a stro removed Democracy Wall in 1979; Tiananmen Square p human rights record; doubtful that countryside Chinese f difference, etc.	rotest quasl	ned; poor
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with multiple factors with multiple reasons.	ctors given.	Allow
	OR Undeveloped arguments on BOTH sides of the argu Balanced but Brief).	ment (annot	ate BBB – [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit must be addre	essed.	[6–8]

Page 10		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Depth St	udy I	E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century		
5 (a)	(i) L	evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	L	evel 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inferences mad	le.	[1–2]
	L	evel 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. distrusted by the British; popular; committed Christian; cr		
	L	Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'won't speak our language'; 'forgets all we have done for him'; 'Uncle Paul'; 'very religious'; appears poor only on surface; 'looks after his own'. [5–6]		
(1	ii) L	evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	L	evel 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
	L	evel 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Hatching a conspiracy; able to ban weapons for othe British throughout SA; militarily skilled and underestimate		vaal; anti-
		No Outnumbered; British ambitions open and a threat; or opposition.	nly suspecte	ed of [3–5]
	L	evel 3 – Agrees and disagrees, supported from the source. Addre 'How far'.	esses the iss	ue of [6–7]
(ii	ii) L	evel 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	L	evel 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information.	more detail	ed/gives [1]
	L	evel 2 – Useful/not useful – Both British so they could be biased/u	inreliable.	[2]
	L	evel 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	cify what [3–5]
	L	evel 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross reference betwe reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 11			Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. end of First Anglo-Boe War; Transvaal's 'independence'/self-government recognised but British suzerainty/control of foreign relations reserved; shaky compromise. [1		-
(ii)	i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Attempt to support Uitlanders and overthrow government. [1		ernment. [1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. secret conspiracy Rhodes/Chamberlain; financed Captai Jameson's 500 armed police crossing from Pitsani, Bechuanaland into Transvaal; Uitlander revolt did not materialise; did not reach Johannesburg; lasted 4 days; quickly surrounded, defeated, captured and imprisoned;		ed Captain nto esburg;
	confirmed Boer suspicions of British/Rhodes, etc.		
(111)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanatio	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Strategic/trade route to India; gold and diamond mining; railways; base t imperial ambitions in whole continent; influence of Rhodes; rivalry with		s; base for
	Germany; Boers' mistreatment of Uitlanders/blacks, etc.	-	[2–6]
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they lost militarily. No, Afrikaner nationalism strengt	hened.	[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of failure OR success, single factor given e.g Failure Military defeats; costs; concentration camps' suffe Dutch gave scant support; no support from European por Vereeniging: Boer independence lost, to accept Edward part of British Empire, etc.	ering; Cape wers; terms	s of
	Success Early military successes; commando raids; costs to British – financial and diplomatic; Vereeniging concessions – Transvaal and OFS to have local self-government; an 'eventual' Union; no Boer to lose property or freedom; UK to pay £3 million compensation; Dutch as well as English to be taught; no black or Coloured franchise in Boer states; Milner's scheme failed; Boer nationalism increased; Botha and Smuts political power by 1910, etc. [2]		ave local edom; UK ht; no 3oer
	Level 3 – Explanation of failure OR of success with multiple factors	given.	
	OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu – Balanced but Brief).	ment (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of failure AND success must be addressed.		[6–8]

Pa	ige 12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
De	pth Stud	y F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1990		
6	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made	Э.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g for Palestine on American electoral issues; USA has man based on their interest.		
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g to Arabs; aware of significance of Jews and Zionism; no considered of little importance.	-	
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Kissinger's efforts in 1974; American encouragemen Israel; Camp David; agreement led to Israeli withdrawal t reduce tension.		
		No USA and USSR do not want to be dragged into war; worried about Arab oil weapon	Western po	wers [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addr 'How far?'	esses the is	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information but does not specify what information.	more detail	ed/gives [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One source is from Truman the other could both be biased/unreliable.	is British, so	o they [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		 Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level any answers that cross reference be show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. 		

Page 13	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Award one mark for each correct group to a maximum of Hamas.	two e.g. He	ezbollah,
			[1–2]
(ii)) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. diplomacy and violence.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. diplomacy – contacts with other sympathetic countries and organisations; led to Arafat speaking to UN in 1976; UN Resolutions, UN peacekeepers and UNWRA. Violence – raids from Jordan, Lebanon till		
	expelled; radical elements attack people and property, etc.		
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One for the reason, one for the explanation.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. supported the Palestinians when they could embarrass Israel; camps in Arab countries were difficult to handle – raids on Israel brought retaliation; extra burden on Arab countries; felt that camps were a state within a state; Palestinians were seen as irresponsible at times; expelled from Jordan, removed from Lebanon; created civil wars in countries, etc. [2:		amps in iation; a state;
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they have still not got their own country.		[1]
	 Level 2 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement, single factor given e.g. Little Still without their own unified state; camps in Arab world not popular with Arab states; attracted Israeli retaliation; camps awful despite UNWRA; PLO recognised as speaking for Palestinian people but PLO divided, seen as terrorists by some; intransigence of Israel; Israeli settlements, etc. 		opular with A; PLO n as
	Much Recognition by UNO; Resolutions for a two state s recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel by Israel after the rise of Hamas; Oslo Accords and Gaza gave a kind of self-rule to Palestinians in Gaza and Wes	l; recognitio a-Jericho Ag	n of PLO
	Level 3 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	with multip	le factors
	OR undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argu- Balanced but Brief).	ument (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of little improvement AND much improveme addressed.	ent must be	[6–8]

Page 14	4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43	
Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society					
7 (a)	(i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]	
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made	Э.	[1–2]	
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. incessant toil governed by machinery; noisy; young work	-	; [3–4]	
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e. operative's thinking powers'; no 'opportunity for the muse tedium', etc.			
I	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]	
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]	
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Pleasant; good companionship; healthy; well-paid.			
		No Hints at long hours; repetitive; children still employed the idle rich and poorest.	; only comp	ares with [3–5]	
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addr 'How far?'	esses the is	sue of [6–7]	
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]	
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one so detailed/gives more information, but does not specify wh			
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is from a reformer; B later, rose-tint	ed interpreta	ation. [2]	
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]	
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross-reference betwe reliability.			
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 15	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	equestion	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid detail to a maximum of two: reforming factory owner; model factory at New Lanark 1800–1825; schools, welfare and decent housing; New Harmony, USA; socialist sympathies; trade unionism supporter – GNCTU. [1–2]		nt
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Applied to textile mills; limited child	d labour.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. did not include lace and silk mills; no children under 9; max. hours 9 for 9–13, 12 for 13–18; no night work for under 18s; 2 hours education; general working day limited to 5.30–20.30; four inspectors to ensure compliance. [2–4]		nder 9; hours
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. employers self-interest in profit; provided regular employment; well-paid in comparison to other employment; reformers focused on worst aspects of industrialisation; trade unions wanted bargaining rights; Parliament equivocal, etc. [2–6]		
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, wages increased. No, many strikes failed.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement, single factor given. Ben Regular work and wages; sometimes housing provided; cheaper goods; helped in improved communications; fostered trade unionism; superior to agricultural workers' situation, etc.		•
	Lack Workers soon laid off if orders sank; most housing poorly built and overcrowded; poor drainage; effects of pollution; weakness of trade unions; limited regulation, etc. [2]		
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement with multi	ple factors.	
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arg – Balanced but Brief).	ument, (ann	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit and lack of improvement must be	addressed.	[6–8]

Pa	nge 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century				
8	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference mad	e.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g of local areas; not expansionist; wary of competitors.	. acts in bes	t interests [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e. your rule'; 'protection'; 'no other nations should take ther		o disturb [5–6]
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Weapons; opposition of natives not equal; superior Nigeria.	military skills	s in
		No African leaders signed away their rights and territorie disputes and exploiting European rivalry.	es; self-intere	est in local [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addu 'How far?'	resses the is	sue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – choice made on the basis that one so detailed/gives more information, but does not specify wh		
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is a formal British response; B is a so they could both be biased/unreliable.	modern ass	essment [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 17	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. first enabled rapid firing – c. 600 rounds per minute; patented the machine gun; highly effective against lightly armed n	1883; fore	
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Used local rulers and officials; loo	se supervis	ion. [1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid a additional detail e.g. developed by Lord Lugard in Nigeria British District Officers to co-operate with paramount chie modernise/extend efficient administration; aid peaceful lo social reform – in theory; imperial control of military and t	a and quickl eftains/rulers ocal control;	y spread; s to basis for
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. potential markets and resources – e.g. diamonds an attractive in period; new Germany and Italy ambitious to older states; part of the Great Game; technology, etc.	d gold, mor	е
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more territories. No, expensive.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses single factor give Ben Gained in prestige; more markets; Belgium and Cor African trade if fewer territories than France; trade routes Christian evangelism and anti-slavery groups; partition, e	igo; Britain I s, Suez; sati	
	Weak Costly to build infrastructure, station troops, fight lo 'white man's grave'; caused friction between European p		nhealthy [2]
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses with multiple fac	tors.	
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arg – Balanced but Brief).	ument (ann	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefits and weaknesses must be addres	ssed.	[6–8]