UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

www.papaCambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2010 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

9695 LITERATURE IN ENGLISH

9695/71 Paper 7 (Comment and Appreciation), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	2
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – October/November 2010	9695	100-

Introduction

Cambridge.com The syllabus for this Paper makes clear what candidates will be expected to do, and what exam will therefore be looking for: "The questions will test candidates' ability to read literature critically a demonstrate by informed discussion and opinion an understanding of the ways in which meaning expressed through a writer's choice of form, structure and language."

The most important word above is perhaps "informed"; there is no expectation that candidates must bring to the paper any historical background or knowledge, though this may of course occasionally be helpful what matters is that they demonstrate an understanding of how to approach a piece of previously unseen writing, and of how to respond to it in a piece of formal written criticism. Examiners will expect candidates to be informed about literary styles, conventions and techniques, and of the most common literary and critical terms; such knowledge will not be rewarded for its own sake - no credit will be given for "alliteration-spotting", for example – but where a candidate can demonstrate an awareness of how a text is written, can discuss this by means of the accepted terminology, and at the same time show that s/he has also a properly formulated and justified personal response then credit and reward will certainly be given. There can generally be no "right" or "wrong" answers; what matters is that a candidate supports, justifies and argues a response in such a way that the examiner knows that s/he can see how the meaning is being expressed, and can at the same time express such a knowledge and understanding. It may well be that a candidate will express a view which is different from the examiner's, or indeed different from what is generally assumed to have been the writer's; unless such a view is demonstrably and unarguably wrong it will always be accepted and rewarded according to its own merits.

ASSESSING THE WORK

In assessing the quality of individual answers, you should keep the following questions firmly in your mind:

- how well does the candidate meet the specific demands of the question?
- how well does the candidate understand the passage/poem, and how far have any difficulties been confronted rather than avoided?
- how sensitive is the candidate to the language, the tone, and the distinctive literary qualities of the writing?
- how aware is the candidate of the narrative perspective or the writer's point of view in the passage/poem?
- how clearly is a genuinely informed personal response to the passage/poem communicated through the candidate's writing?
- how far does the candidate's commentary illuminate the creative processes of the passage/ poem?

Drama: candidates should always be given credit for exploring the specifically dramatic and/or theatrical qualities of a passage or scene (though specialist Theatre Studies skills or knowledge are not expected, and may indeed be unhelpful).

Poetry: although little credit should be given for simple or mechanical discussion of poetic form, candidates should always be rewarded for showing an awareness of the aptness and effectiveness of poetic forms and techniques in a given poem.

Prose: where appropriate, candidates should be given credit for showing an awareness of the character of the passage in relation to its genre (fiction, biography, essay, reportage etc.)

Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	\$ `
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – October/November 2010	9695	No.

Using the mark bands

Cambridge.com Place the answer in a band first. Look for the "best fit" of the answer into a band. An answer nee show evidence of most but not necessarily ALL of the qualities described in a band, in order to placed in that band. Then award a mark for the relative position of the answer within the band.

Candidates may address the question in many different ways. Do not expect any particular focus or approach and do not penalise answers for leaving out a particular focus.

Reward what is there, showing what you are rewarding, in your comments.

Consider all strands and weigh up the performance as a whole in placing the answer in a band, then show that you have done so in the summative comment, e.g.

Sound K of texts, some evidence of U but mostly narrative, occasional evidence of P, mainly clear C

Assessment Objectives:

- Ability to respond to texts in the three main forms (Prose, Poetry and Drama) of different types and from different cultures;
- Understanding of the ways in which writers' choices of form, structure and language shape meanings;
- Ability to produce informed independent opinions and judgements on literary texts:
- Ability to communicate clearly the knowledge, understanding and insight appropriate to literary study:
- Ability to appreciate and discuss varying opinions of literary works.

Each answer is marked out of 25, in accordance with the General Marking Criteria below.

Each band is divided into strands corresponding to the Assessment Objectives – Knowledge, Understanding, Personal Response, Communication, Others' opinions

Band 6 0-5

- Κ Some understanding of the text, perhaps relying on narrative/paraphrase only.
- U Limited understanding of form, structure and language; perhaps only a series of points unrelated to the meaning and effects of the text. Little use or understanding of technical terms.
- Ρ There may be some signs of personal response, but not developed or properly supported from the text.
- Communication will be insecure. Expression may be weak with multiple errors and some С breakdown in communication. Structure is likely to be lacking: answers may be partial, undeveloped, narrative/descriptive, and perhaps assertive of simple points rather than with progressive lines of argument.

Band 5 6-9 Work of a basic standard

- Κ Some general understanding of the text, with limited ability to use this selectively to addres task. There may be some basic errors of interpretation and reading.
- www.papacambridge.com U Evidence of some understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of language shape meaning and effect, with some appropriate support from the text. Possible awareness of some of the effects of structure and form, with some occasional and relevant use of technical terms.
- Ρ Evidence of some personal response, with some appropriate support from the text.
- С Expression will be basically clear, with some problems in expression and register. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling may be quite common, with the occasional confused passage of writing; however, there will be no sustained or serious loss of communication.

There will be a simple *structure* to the answer, with evidence of an argument, though this may lack consistent coherence; some repetition and assertion, with relapse into narrative/paraphrase, and perhaps some irrelevant drifting from the thrust of the question.

Solid work Band 4 10–13

- Κ Some detailed and accurate understanding of the text, with some evidence of ability to use it appropriately in addressing the task.
- U Some sound understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meaning and effect, supported by appropriate and reasonably full quotation from the text. Focus is likely to be on language, with perhaps a little awareness of the effect of structure and form, together with some relevant use of technical terms. A little awareness of genre characteristics.
- Ρ Evidence of sound personal response to the text, supported by appropriate reference and quotation.
- Expression will be mostly clear and appropriate, with mostly accurate spelling, punctuation and С grammar. There will be a clear simple structure to the answer. Argument will be mostly coherent if perhaps assertive. Some reliance on narrative/paraphrase. Straightforward ideas will be articulated clearly, but there may be some imprecision and clumsiness of expression in addressing more complex responses, with perhaps some occasional lack of clarity.

Band 3 14–17 **Competent work**

- Sound understanding of the text, always relevantly addressing the task. Κ
- U Intelligent understanding of how theme and/or character are created by the writer; some evidence of appreciation of literary qualities, and of the methods and effects of the writing, supported by some relevant and critically helpful use of technical terms. Limited awareness of genre characteristics.
- Ρ Evidence of thoughtful personal response aptly related to the text and supported by appropriate quotation and exploration.
- *Expression* will be clear and generally accurate. *Structure* will be sound coherently organised С material with occasional insights, although argument may at times lack critical depth and balance, with failure to see and/or explore the subtler implications of the text. Answers will express thoughtful and straightforward ideas clearly, though there may be occasional loss of fluency with points not always strongly connected.

Page 5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – October/November 2010	9695

Band 2 **Proficient work** 18–21

- Κ Secure and detailed knowledge and understanding of the text, relevantly addressed to the ta
- www.papacambridge.com U Intelligent and consistent understanding of how theme and/or character are created by the write evidence of appreciation of literary qualities, methods and effects, supported by relevant and critically helpful use of technical terms. Some awareness and some discussion of literary conventions, techniques and genre characteristics.
- Ρ Evidence of thoughtful and perceptive personal response, well supported from the text; some originality of thought, straightforwardly and vigorously articulated, perhaps, rather than subtle or with penetration.
- С Expression will be confident, with only occasional errors; some complex ideas and responses expressed with some fluency. Structure will be sound; arguments will be coherent, with progression of ideas through clearly linked paragraphs.

Band 1 Very good work (NB – do not reserve this band for the very best work you 22–25 see, but ensure that you place answers in this band which fulfil the requirements described below; there will always be some answers of a standard higher than the top of the band.)

- Κ Secure and consistently detailed knowledge and understanding of the text, relevantly and consistently related to the task.
- U Perceptive and sensitive understanding of how theme and/or character are created by the writer. Thoughtful appreciation and discussion of literary conventions, techniques and genre characteristics, well supported with frequent and apt quotation, and sharply relevant and critical use of technical terms.
- Ρ Personal response to the text will be perceptive and often fresh, with some originality in approach. Understanding of textual subtleties.
- Expression will be accomplished and fluent, with very few errors. Structure will be coherent and С clear, with arguments consistently clear and cogent; paragraphs will progress logically and be effectively linked.