

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

#### **BUSINESS STUDIES**

0450/21 May/June 2017

Paper 2 Case Study MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 80

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is a registered trademark.

This syllabus is approved for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 1/Level 2 Certificate.

This document consists of **12** printed pages.

**CAMBRIDGE** International Examinations

| Answer | Question   | Marks |
|--------|--|-------|
| 1(a)   | Identify and explain two ways in which TT might achieve quality production.  | 8     |
|        | Knowledge $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for each way.<br>Analysis $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for a relevant explanation for each way.<br>Application $[2 \times 2]$ – award two application marks for each way.   |       |
|        | Award one mark for each way (maximum of two), from this list:  |       |
|        | <ul> <li>Quality control</li> <li>Quality assurance</li> <li>Quality labour – skilled/trained workers</li> <li>Quality materials – consider producing own T shirts instead of buying in</li> <li>Use new technology/new computer-controlled equipment/CAD,CAM or CIM</li> <li>TQM/quality circles</li> </ul>                             |       |
|        | Do not accept JIT or lean production.  |       |
|        | Award a maximum of three additional marks for each explanation – 2 of which must be applied to this context - of how the quality can be achieved.  |       |
|        | Indicative response:   |       |
|        | Quality control (k) means that each batch of children's T-shirts are checked at the end<br>of the production process (app) If there are any faults then the batch can be sewn up<br>and repairs made to any gaps in the seams (app) so that products only leave the<br>factory if they are correctly made and in perfect condition (an). |       |
|        | Possible application marks:  |       |
|        | Ready-made plain white T-shirts; high quality material; prints designs; T-shirts<br>imported from country Q, a developing country; 50 different designs; batches<br>production of various sizes; personalized T-shirts; buying new computer controlled<br>equipment for \$500 000; retailers expect high quality products                |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.   |       |

| Answer |   | Question   |  | Marks |
|--------|---|--|--|-------|
| 1(b)   |   | advantages and the disadvantage<br>ecommend the best option to cho   |  | 12    |
|        | Relevant poir   | nts might include:   |  |       |
|        |   | Advantages   | Disadvantages  |       |
|        | Option A –<br>take over<br>competing<br>company         | Specialised in different product<br>range – increase sales.<br>Economies of scale possible –<br>reduce management layers –<br>reduce wage costs.<br>Increase efficiency – share good<br>practice - lower unit costs – more<br>competitive – increase profit. | High cost to buy out<br>shareholders.<br>No guarantee of success – no<br>expertise in this market.<br>Low staff morale – job insecurity<br>– lack of coordination between<br>the two management structures<br>– diseconomies of scale. |       |
|        | Option B –<br>expand<br>own<br>production<br>facilities | Increased efficiency from new<br>equipment – increased output –<br>increased sales.<br>Not using a wholesaler – absorb<br>profit margin.<br>Option B is cheaper than Option<br>A.  | May need joint venture/use an<br>agent – reduce profit margin –<br>lower profit.<br>Lack of knowledge of export<br>market – culture/taste may be<br>different – more difficult to have<br>effective marketing.                         |       |
|        | Knowledge/Ar  |  | narks using the levels-based mark  |       |
|        |   | Knowledge / Analysis / Evaluation  |  |       |
|        | Level 3   | At least $2 \times \text{Level } 2 + 9-10$ marks for well justified recom choose and why not choose the alt  |  |       |
|        |   | 7–8 marks for limited recommenda   | tion of which option to choose.  |       |
|        | Level 2   | 4–6 marks<br>Detailed discussion of advantages<br>option.  | and/or disadvantages of each   |       |
|        | Level 1   | 1–3 marks<br>Outline of advantages and/or disac  | lvantages of each option.  |       |

| Answer | Question  | Marks |
|--------|---|-------|
| 1(b)   | Level 1 – 1 mark for each L1 statement (max of 3 marks) e.g. Economies of scale are possible if they take over another company.   |       |
|        | Level 2 – 1 × L2 explanation can gain 4 marks and a further mark can be awarded for each additional L2 explanation (max 6 marks) e.g. Economies of scale are possible if they take over another company as T-shirts could be purchased in bulk and a discount received. However, it will cost over \$10m to buy out the shareholders and they may not be able to finance it. (L2 plus 1 App mark for consideration of the costs of the takeover of a competitor).   |       |
|        | Level 3 – For L3 to be awarded there needs to be at least two L2 marks awarded and then a recommendation of which option to choose.   |       |
|        | Award up to 2 additional marks for relevant application.  |       |
|        | Possible application marks:   |       |
|        | private limited company; set up 15 years ago; in country P; buys ready-made plain<br>white T-shirts; prints designs; T-shirts imported from country Q, a developing<br>country; 50 different designs; batches production of various sizes; sold to small<br>retailers in country P; expect high quality; share capital \$2 m; increased to \$8 m by<br>2015; exporting T-shirts; take over DesOwn; personalized T-shirts; sells online; raise<br>\$10 m to buy out the existing shareholders; buying new computer controlled<br>equipment for \$500 000; sell directly to large retailers; use an agent or a joint<br>venture; really unhappy factory workers; workers want to leave. |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.  |       |

| Answer | Question  | Marks |
|--------|---|-------|
| 2(a)   | Identify <u>two</u> motivational theories and explain how TT could use these theories to help increase worker motivation  | 8     |
|        | Knowledge $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for each theory<br>Analysis $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for a relevant explanation for each theory<br>Application $[2 \times 2]$ – award two application marks for each theory  |       |
|        | <ul> <li>Award one mark for each theory (maximum of two), from:</li> <li>Taylor – scientific management approach, money is the main motivator, pay according to tasks performed so speed is encouraged, specialisation would make best use of skills</li> <li>Maslow – hierarchy of needs, satisfy physiological, safety, social, self-esteem, self-actualisation needs to increase motivation</li> <li>Herzberg – two factor theory where hygiene factors relate to the working environment and motivating factors relate to improving the job itself so that work is meaningful and the worker is given responsibility</li> </ul> |       |
|        | Note: There may be reference to other motivational theorists.   |       |
|        | Award a maximum of three additional marks for each explanation – 2 of which must be applied to this context – of the theory.  |       |
|        | Note: The explanation must focus on how TT can use each specific theory.  |       |
|        | Indicative response:  |       |
|        | Taylor (k) believed that money is a main motivator for workers (an) and so TT should increase the workers' wages especially to pay them for all the tasks they do (app) and then they may feel more motivated and less unhappy in their jobs and not want to leave (app).   |       |
|        | Possible application marks:   |       |
|        | prints designs; 50 different designs; batch production of various sizes; expect high quality; personalized T-shirts; really unhappy factory workers; may be made redundant if taking over another business; Operations Manager just tells me what to do; she never asks what I think; shouted at if I do anything wrong; told to keep quiet; no training on selling; workers want to leave; information is only put on one noticeboard.   |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.  |       |

| Answer |                             |   | Question  | Marks |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------|
| 2(b)   | about wheth                 |   | ng <u>three</u> changes before making a final decision<br>g its products. Which change will be the most<br>stify your answer.   | 12    |
|        |                             | nts might include:  |   |       |
|        |                             | n in the exchange<br>try P's currency.                                    | Exports more expensive – harder to sell its products abroad – imports cheaper – T-shirts lower costs – could lower prices or increase profit.   |       |
|        |                             | conomic growth in oped economies.   | Increased demand – increased sales of T-shirts – may demand alternative more expensive clothes.   |       |
|        |                             | the import tariffs in oped economies.                                     | Higher import prices for T-shirts – increased costs<br>– higher price of finished T-shirt – lower demand.   |       |
|        | Knowledge/A                 |   | award up to 10 marks using the levels-based mark  |       |
|        |                             | Knowledge/Analys  | sis/ Evaluation   |       |
|        | Level 3                     |   | 2 +<br>ell justified conclusion as to which change will be<br>at compared to the other changes.   |       |
|        |                             |   | ne limited judgement shown in conclusion as to be most important.   |       |
|        | Level 2                     | 4–6 marks<br>Discussion of adv  | antages and/or disadvantages of each change.  |       |
|        | Level 1                     | 1–3 marks<br>Outline of advanta   | ages and/or disadvantages of each change.   |       |
|        | will lead to hig            | gher export prices, e   | ement (max of 3 marks) e.g. appreciation of currency<br>conomic growth will lead to increased sales of T<br>make T shirt prices higher.   |       |
|        | each a<br>curren<br>the T-s | additional L2 explana<br>icy will lead to highe<br>shirts. This will make | gain 4 marks and a further mark can be awarded for<br>ation (max 6 marks) e.g. Appreciation in the<br>r export prices which may lead to a fall in demand for<br>e it harder to sell in this market and consumers may<br>goods that now appear cheaper. (L2) |       |
|        |                             |   | nere needs to be at least two L2 marks awarded and<br>the change will be most important.  |       |
|        | Award up to                 | 2 additional marks  | for relevant application.   |       |
|        | Possible app                | olication marks:  |   |       |
|        | from country                | Q, a developing co  | shirts; prints designs on T shirts; T-shirts imported<br>untry; sold to small retailers; expand the company;<br>ell directly to large retailers; use an agent or a joint  |       |
|        | There may b                 | e other examples in   | context that have not been included here.   |       |

| Answer | Question  | Marks |  |  |  |
|--------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| 3(a)   | Identify <u>two</u> communication barriers which might occur within TT and explain how each barrier might be reduced.   |       |  |  |  |
|        | Knowledge $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for each barrier<br>Analysis $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for a relevant explanation for each barrier<br>Application $[2 \times 2]$ – award two application marks for each barrier   |       |  |  |  |
|        | Award one mark for each barrier (maximum of two), such as:  |       |  |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>Problems with the sender – different languages in country P and country Q/ not made the message clear /speaks quickly/sends message to wrong person/too many workers to contact</li> <li>Problems with the medium – message lost/no feedback given/wrong channel used/ message not picked up</li> <li>Problems with the receiver – not listening/ may not trust the sender/not understanding the message</li> <li>Problems with feedback – only one-way communication/there is no feedback/distortion of feedback as passed through too many people/ long chain of command</li> </ul>  |       |  |  |  |
|        | <ul> <li>Problems with the physical environment – noise level/separate buildings/time difference</li> <li>Award a maximum of three additional marks for each explanation – 2 of which must be</li> </ul>  |       |  |  |  |
|        | applied to this context - of how the barrier might be reduced.  |       |  |  |  |
|        | Indicative response:<br>Problems with the medium used (k) in the case of TT information is put on a factory<br>noticeboard in another building where the workers do not see it (app). If the message is<br>put on a noticeboard in the same building as the factory workers and also in a<br>newsletter sent to every worker in the T-shirt factory (app) then this should ensure that<br>all workers receive the information and the barrier will be overcome (an).  |       |  |  |  |
|        | Possible application marks:<br>Private limited company; in country P/Q; prints designs; T-shirts imported from<br>country Q, a developing country; sold to small retailers in country P; expand the<br>company; exporting T-shirts; take over DesOwn, a competing company;<br>personalized T-shirts; sells online; use an agent or a joint venture; really unhappy<br>factory workers; shouted at if I do anything wrong; workers want to leave; workers in<br>the factory; Operations manager just tells me what to do; she never asks what I<br>think; told to keep quiet; no training on selling; information is only put on one<br>noticeboard. |       |  |  |  |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.  |       |  |  |  |

| Answer |  |                                     | Question  |  | Marks |  |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------|--|
| 3(b)   | for its prosent for its prosent for its provide the second | oducts<br>Istify yo                 | lowing <u>three</u> channels of distribution<br>in country P. Recommend the best<br>our answer.<br>might include:   |  | 1:    |  |
|        |  |                                     | Advantages  | Disadvantages  |       |  |
|        | Sell to a wholesa  |                                     | Bulk buy – gain advice on what sells well – lower administration costs.   | Lower profit margin.   |       |  |
|        | Sell dire<br>large ret   |                                     | Good locations/high footfall – increase sales.  | Many competing brands also sold there.                                       |       |  |
|        | Open its<br>shops in<br>shoppin<br>malls   | ı                                   | Control over presentation of T-shirts<br>– independent decision making<br>about selling to customers.   | High costs of operating own<br>shop – insufficient designs<br>for own shops. |       |  |
|        | Note: Do not accept answers referring to exporting. The question only asks about country P.         Knowledge/Analysis/Evaluation – award up to 10 marks using the levels-based mark scheme below.         Knowledge/Analysis/Evaluation   |                                     |   |  |       |  |
|        | Level 3  | At leas<br>9–10<br>distrib<br>7–8 m | st 2 × Level 2 +<br>marks for well justified recommendatio<br>ution to choose and why not the other<br>marks for some limited judgement show<br>hannel of distribution to choose. | channels.  |       |  |
|        | Level 2  | 4–6 m<br>Detail                     | arks<br>ed discussion of each channel of distril  | bution.  |       |  |
|        | Level 1  | 1–3 m                               |   |  |       |  |

| Answer | Question   | Marks |
|--------|--|-------|
| 3(b)   | Level 1 – 1 mark for each L1 statement (max of 3 marks) e.g. Wholesaler will buy in bulk.  |       |
|        | Level 2 – 1 × L2 explanation can gain 4 marks and a further mark can be awarded for each additional L2 explanation (max 6 marks) e.g. Wholesaler will buy in bulk which will reduce administration and transport costs. However, the wholesaler will expect a reduced price and so the profit margin will be lower. (L2) |       |
|        | Level 3 – For L3 to be awarded there needs to be at least two L2 marks awarded and then a recommendation which justifies the best channel of distribution to choose.   |       |
|        | Award up to 2 additional marks for relevant application.   |       |
|        | Possible application marks:  |       |
|        | Private limited company; prints designs; 50 different designs; batch production of various sizes; sold to small retailers; expect high quality; expand the company; personalized T-shirts; use an agent or a joint venture; no training on selling.  |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.   |       |

| Answer | Question   | Marks |
|--------|--|-------|
| 4(a)   | Identify and explain <u>one</u> reason why TT will need short-term finance and <u>one</u> reason why TT will need long-term finance.   | 8     |
|        | Knowledge $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for each reason<br>Analysis $[2 \times 1]$ – award one mark for a relevant explanation for each reason<br>Application $[2 \times 2]$ – award two application marks for each reason   |       |
|        | Award one mark for each reason (maximum of two), such as:  |       |
|        | <ul> <li>Short-term finance – needed on a day-to-day basis to keep the business running:</li> <li>Working capital /cover expenses/pay wages</li> <li>Pay for materials/Inventories of T-shirts</li> <li>Cash flow problems</li> </ul>  |       |
|        | <ul> <li>Long-term finance – needed over a long period of time to support expensive business development. Will need to be repaid in many instalments:</li> <li>Investment in equipment/machinery/fixed assets</li> <li>Take over another company/expand</li> </ul>   |       |
|        | Award a maximum of three additional marks for each explanation – 2 of which must be applied to this context – of each reason.  |       |
|        | Indicative response:   |       |
|        | Short-term finance will be needed to pay for inventory (k) in this case it will be the T-shirts that are to have prints on them (app). The T-shirts will need to be purchased from abroad and paid for long before they have designs printed on them (app) and sold so working capital will be needed to allow this to take place. (an)  |       |
|        | Possible application marks:  |       |
|        | Private limited company; set up 15 years ago; in country P; buys ready-made plain white T-shirts; T-shirts imported from country Q, a developing country; 50 different designs; batches production of various sizes; sold to small retailers in country P; share capital \$2 m; increased to \$8 m by 2015; expand the company; exporting T-shirts; take over DesOwn, a competing company; sells online; raise \$10 m to buy out the existing shareholders; buying new computer controlled equipment for \$500 000; sell directly to large retailers; use an agent or a joint venture. |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.   |       |

| Answer |  | Question   |                                     | Marks |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| 4(b)   | financial pe   | pendix 3 and other information in the<br>rformance of TT. Do you think the dir<br>ay's performance? Justify your answe | rectors should be pleased with      | 12    |  |  |  |
|        | Relevant po  | bints might include:   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | 2015 GPM = 80% (L2)  | GP = \$8 m (L1)                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | NPM = 10% (L2)   | $NP = \pounds 1 m (L1)$             |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | ROCE = 10% (L2)  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | 2016 GPM = 73% or 73.3% (L2)   | CD = (11 m / 1)                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | 2016 GPM = 73% or 73.3% (L2)<br>NPM = 13% or 13.3% (L2)  | GP = \$11 m (L1)<br>NP = \$2 m (L1) |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | ROCE = $14\%$ or $14.2\%$ (L2)   | 101 - 22111(LT)                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | 15 and 2016:   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | enue increased (by \$5 m) (L1)   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | -  | ss profit increased (by \$3 m) (L1)  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | Ihe net  | profit increased (by \$1 m) (L1)   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | Note: Do no  | t accept APP for use of data already pr  | ovided in Appendix 3 (non-          |       |  |  |  |
|        | calculated fig   | •  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | Knowledge/Analysis/Evaluation – award up to 10 marks using the levels-based mark scheme below. |  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | scheme beid  | JW.  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | Knowledge/Analysis/ Evaluation   |                                     | 1     |  |  |  |
|        | Level 3  | At least 2 × Level 2 +   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        | Levers   | 9–10 marks for well justified conclus  | ion as to whether the directors     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | should be pleased with the company   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | 7–8 marks for some limited conclusion  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | should be pleased with the company   |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | for improvement or future development  | ent of the business.                |       |  |  |  |
|        | Level 2  | 4–6 marks  |                                     |       |  |  |  |
|        |  | Correct calculation of profitability rat   | ios.                                |       |  |  |  |
|        |  |  |                                     | 7     |  |  |  |
|        | Level 1  | 1–3 marks  |                                     |       |  |  |  |

| Answer | Question  | Marks |
|--------|---|-------|
| 4(b)   | Level 1 – 1 mark for each L1 statement (max of 3 marks).  |       |
|        | Level 2 – $1 \times L2$ calculation can gain 4 marks and a further mark can be awarded for each additional L2 calculation (max 6 marks) e.g. The net profit margin for 2016 was 13%. (L2).  |       |
|        | Level 3 – For L3 to be awarded there needs to be at least two L2 marks awarded and then a conclusion whether the directors should be pleased with the company performance.  |       |
|        | Award up to 2 additional marks for relevant application.  |       |
|        | Possible application marks:   |       |
|        | Private limited company; set up 15 years ago; in country P; share capital \$2 m; increased to \$8 m by 2015; expand the company; raise \$10 m to buy out the existing shareholders; buying new computer controlled equipment for \$500 000. |       |
|        | There may be other examples in context that have not been included here.  |       |