MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2006 question paper

0460 GEOGRAPHY

0460/04

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 60

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

The grade thresholds for various grades are published in the report on the examination for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2006 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



| | Page 2 | | Mark Scheme S | | | Paper | |
|---|--------|-------|--|-----------------|---------------|-------|--|
| | | | IGCSE - OCT/NOV 2006 | 0460 | 4 | | |
| 1 | (a) | (i) | Completion of the diagram to show the upward movement of a pebble at an angle and the downward movement of the pebble perpendicular to the foreshore. | | 1 @ 1 mark | [1] | |
| | | (ii) | Correct positioning of the direction of I.s.d. and direction of wind | prevailing | 1 @ 1 mark | [1] | |
| | | | Both correct for mark | | | | |
| | | (iii) | Ideas should include The pebbles/beach material is pushed up the beach at an a swash/waves/direction of prevailing wind; The pebbles/beach material is dragged straight down/returns/perpendicular under the force of gravity; Repeated action | angle by the | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| | (b) | (i) | Saves time/faster; cover more sites in the time; all stude experience fieldwork/sharing of work; safer; discuss ide qualified Comparison/fairer test/to get an average/control/minimis error | as; easier if | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| | | (ii) | Ideas should include – Use of tape to set out transect line/straight line from water back of beach – Starting at the water's edge the pantometer is placed alor line – vertical pole – The angle of slope change is measured using the protract – Record the measured angle – Repeat the measurement for the width of the beach/length transect | ng the transect | 3 @ 1 mark | [3] | |
| | (c) | (i) | Correct marking of profile at 2 m (5°) and 4 m (8°) and line Look for change in the slope at 2 m and 4 m $$ | | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| | | (ii) | Height difference measured from graph as 1.3 m. Accept 1 Award mark for correct number, no penalty for no unit/wron | | 1 @ 1 mark | [1] | |
| | | (iii) | 2a wider/longer/higher than 2b; 2a steeper gradient than 2b angle change in 2a than 2b; exception at 4 – 6 m; credit data | o; greater | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| | (d) | (i) | Correct plotting of bars at 2a (16 m) and 2b (6 m) Size and location matters but not shading | | 1 @ 1 mark | [1] | |
| | | (ii) | 10 m; plotted as line onto bar graph Fig. 5 | | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |

| Page 3 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper | |
|-----------|---|-------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | IGCSE - OCT/NOV 2006 | 0460 | 4 | ł |
| (e) | Description All b profiles/1b, 2b and 3b are all flatter in gradient/slope than a profiles/1a, 2a and 3a; All b profiles/1b, 2b and 3b change lest gradient/slope than a profiles/1a, 2a and 3a; 0 - 2 m all low angles; 8 - 10 m all high angles; Explanation beach material has been moved/transported from to site a; Beach material is stopped by the groynes; Res 1 mark for des and 3 for exp. Credit data, max 1 mark for des | s in om site b | 4 @ 1 mark | [4] |
| (f) (i) | Height of Beach X is low; width of Beach X is narrow; similar to data similar to beach b; | | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] |
| (ii) | Ideas should include Process change e.g. reduced I.s.d/less deposition/greater erosion/change in processes across beach X | | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] |
| | Dev of reason e.g. shelter from waves by marina/lack of marina to trapped by marina | lenal que | Credit developn | nent |
| (g) | Conclusion should include, for example Hypothesis correct; beach always wider closer to the groyne where l.s.d is stoppe groyne; data quoting the widths of beaches comparing a and b sites limitations of data collection concerning when data collected; serror; only one beach; other data to be collected; valid evaluat Res 1 mark for hypothesis decision Res 1 mark for limitations Max 3 if no data | d by student | 5 @ 1 mark | [5] |
| | | [Tot | tal 30 ma | arks |
| ? (a) (i) | Labelled working quarry area and vehicle storage | | 4 @ 1 mark | [4] |
| (ii) | Completed sketch with labels for 2 marks by showing railway lir settlement Railway needs to follow hedge line | | | |
| (b) (i) | Ideas for example Advantage – saves time/not collected data yourself/do not need visit/can be more accurate/collected by professionals/cheaper; Disadvantage – can be wrong/inaccurate/have not seen for you precise enough/biased | l to | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] |
| (ii) | Employment | | 1 @ 1 mark | [1] |
| (iii) | Process = heating in furnace | | 3 @ 1 mark | [3] |
| | Output = the cement; fumes and waste heat/air pollution 1 mark for both underlining and in correct place on diagram Just mark on Fig. 8 | | Max 2 if o words | extra |

| Page 4 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper | | |
|---------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|
| | IGCSE - OCT/NOV 2006 | 0460 | | 4 | |
| (c) (i) | To gain a representative sample/results; no student because not neighbours; easier | bias; range of views | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| (ii) | Complete the pie chart with • three correct line • a suitable title • a completed/used key tolerance of 2 mm | | 5 @ 1 mark | [5] | |
| (iii) | People are most concerned about air pollution; People are least concerned about litter; No credit for lists Credit grouping of issues | | 2 @ 1 mark | [2] | |
| (d) | Ideas may include – respiratory diseases/breathing problems; – acid rain; | | 3 @ 1 mark | [3] | |
| | dust/particulate matter over the environment; smog | | Credit de | edit dev | |
| | Damage to vegetation/crops global warming/greenhouse gases contributing to warming of the atmosphere | | Max 2 if list | | |
| (e) | Road – (6/50) increased traffic congestion/noise/air p lorries Railway – (8/50) noise | oollution/dust from | 3 @ 1 mark | [3] | |
| | Pipeline – (0/50) no impact as underground | | One mark fo each method | | |
| | Max 2 if no data quoted or no comparison statement | | | | |
| (f) | Ideas such as environmental survey around the area system; litter survey; pollution discs; Credit detail suggesting data type, how collected/mea | | 5 @ 1 mark | [5] | |
| | recorded Max 3 for one method or list of methods | | Credit de | ev | |
| | | [To | otal 30 ma | arks | |