CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Cambridge International Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2015 series

9707 BUSINESS STUDIES

9707/31

Paper 3 (Case Study), maximum raw mark 100

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2015 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.



Page 2	Mark Scheme		Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

1 Analyse the benefits and limitations to CCF of an extensive product portfolio.

[10]

	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 4 marks
Level 2	3 marks Good knowledge of benefits and/or limitations of extensive product portfolio	3 marks More than one benefit/limitation explained in context	4–3 marks Good use of theory and/or reasoned argument to explain benefits and limitations
Level 1	2–1 marks Knowledge of product portfolio and/or benefits and/or limitations	2–1 marks Some application to case	2–1 marks Some use of theory and/or reasoned argument to explain benefits or limitations

Answers could include:

- Product portfolio range of products produced/sold by a business
- Benefits some products falling in sales (e.g. burgers) and new products replace them trying to replace decline products for growth products
- Able to satisfy more consumers/market segments e.g. Indian consumers with curries etc. Should lead to increased sales
- Limitations different products in different market segments will require different marketing and this could stretch the CCF marketing budget too thinly. Is this why demand for some products is falling?
- Higher storage costs of holding inventories of a wider range of goods is this why unit costs are not falling as expected?

2 (a) Using data in Appendix 1, calculate:

(i) CCF's gearing ratio

[3]

Non - current liabilities Shareholders' equity + N	s	1 mark	
$\frac{78}{163} \times 100$			2 marks
47.9%			3 marks
Or Non - current liabilities Shareholders' equity	×	100	1 mark
78/85 × 100			2 marks
91.8%			3 marks
OFR applies			

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

(ii) the current dividend yield ratio assuming the proposed dividend is paid.

[5]

Dividend yield = $\frac{\text{Dividend per share}}{\text{Share price}} \times 100$ 1 mark

Dividend per share = $\frac{\text{Total dividend}}{\text{No. of shares issued}}$ 1 mark $\frac{\$35\text{m}}{100\text{m}} = \0.3 1 mark $\frac{\$0.35}{\$3.00} \times 100$ 1 mark

1 mark

5 marks

Page 4	Mark Scheme S		Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

(b) Using your results from (a) and other information, recommend to CCF which source(s) of finance should be used to pay for the new meat processing factory. [14]

	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 5 marks	Evaluation 5 marks
Level 2	2 marks At least two relevant points made	2 marks Application of two or more points to case/use of results	5–3 marks Good use of theory to answer question	5–3 marks Good judgement shown
Level 1	1 mark One relevant point made	1 mark Some application to case/use of one result	2–1 marks Some use of theory to answer question	2–1 marks Some judgement shown

Answers could include:

- Long term finance needed \$25m for P and \$24m for Q
- Sale of existing site could raise \$5m but how accurate is the valuation? Is it historic
 cost or current valuation? Might CCF need more "chicken capacity" if new factory
 produces higher output?
- Borrow in form of long term loans assuming all capital is borrowed (candidates might have different assumptions) then gearing ratio becomes 54.8% (P) or 54.5% (Q)
- Is this too high? Might be acceptable at times of low interest rates but CCF might be stretched to pay back interest if rates increase
- Cut dividend e.g. by \$25m (P). This would leave dividend of \$10m = 10 cents per share with dividend yield (assuming same share price) of 3.33%
- Shareholders may sell shares to gain better return elsewhere although this could actually increase DV ratio
- Sell more shares e.g. rights issue but shareholders are not happy and may not be prepared to buy these rights – could hit share price again if more shares come on to the market.

- Judgement; regarding most suitable sources(s).
- Combination of sources might be better to balance the risk (not such high gearing) and keep more shareholders content (not lower dividends by full amount of £25m)

Page 5	Mark Scheme		Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

Analysis

5 marks

5-4 marks

3-1 marks

Some use of theory

to answer question

Recommend which of the two sites CCF should choose for its new meat processing factory. Justify your answer with an analysis of the data in Table 1 and other relevant information

Application

Application of two or

more points to case/

Some application to

accurate calculation

3 marks

3 marks

3 accurate

2-1 marks

case/two/one

calculations

Evaluation 5 marks 5–4 marks Good use of theory Good judgement to answer question shown 3–1 marks

Some judgement

shown

[16]

Answers	could	include:

Payback: P = 3.57 years; Q = 4 years

Knowledge

Three relevant points

formulae/attempted

Two/one relevant

two/one appropriate

formula/attempted

points made or

3 marks

3 marks

made or 3

appropriate

calculation

2-1 marks

calculation

Level 2

Level 1

- Some slight benefit to P as capital can be paid back earlier BUT interest rates and low anyway
- ARR = P = 28%; Q = 25%
- Slight benefit to P but inaccurate data might cancel this out
- Annual B–E output: P = 1.467m; Q = 1.412m
- Slight advantage to Q as less output needed to BE which slightly reduce annual risk of making a loss
- Lower wage rates at Q due to higher unemployment?
- Lower transport of finished products from P? Food could be slightly fresher from P (marginal
- Less chance of existing employees transferring to Q is this a good or bad point from CCF's viewpoint?

- Overall judgement needed and supported
- How reliable is data it might be biased if Ops Director compiled the data
- Other information? e.g. discount factors to be used for DCF

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

4 Discuss the importance to CCF of corporate social responsibility when aiming to maximise shareholder value. [16]

	Knowledge	Application	Analysis	Evaluation
	3 marks	3 marks	5 marks	5 marks
Level 2	3 marks At least three relevant points made	3 marks Application of two or more points to case	_	5–4 marks Good judgement shown
Level 1	2–1 marks	2–1 marks	3–1 marks	3–1 marks
	One or two relevant	Some application to	Some use of theory	Some judgement
	points made	case	to answer question	shown

Answers could include:

- Definition of shareholder value maximising long term returns to shareholders in terms of dividends and share prices
- Definition of CSR pursuing objectives other than maximising shareholder value e.g. environment or other stakeholder objectives
- Indications that the business is not focusing on CSR at present:
- Quality and supply problems causing difficulties for retailers
- Public health issues regarding food poisoning from CCF products
- Employee contracts have worsened conditions and relocation might put jobs at risk
- Animal pressure groups might be concerned about chicken welfare
- Perhaps these factors have contributed to falling share price?
- Potential investors might be frightened off by these problems and the bad publicity resulting from them
- Concentrating on low cost strategy should increase shareholder value but it appears not to be working at present

- Proposed cut in dividends could mean that maximising short term returns to shareholders may not be a priority
- Is it a matter of time before these non-CSR changes start to cut unit costs and increase profits and returns to shareholders?
- Perhaps it is important for CCF to be more socially responsible to increase workers' productivity, reduce risks of bad publicity etc. and this is the way to improve profits and shareholder returns in the long term

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

5 Discuss the extent to which workforce planning for the new factory could ensure effective management of human resources [16]

	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 5 marks	Evaluation 5 marks
Level 2	3 marks At least two relevant points made + knowledge of workforce planning	3 marks Good application of points to case	5–4 marks Good use of theory to answer question	5–4 marks Good judgement shown
Level 1	2–1 marks One relevant point made and/or knowledge of workforce planning	2–1 marks Some application to case	3–1 marks Some use of theory to answer question	3–1 marks Some judgement shown

Answers could include:

- An HR plan which has the aim of delivering the right people in the right quantities for the business to achieve its objectives
- Has not been good in the past e.g. the failure to supply sufficient number of employees during peak periods
- New location will need new workforce plan so it will be essential due to the relocation
- Right people to operate food processing machines, maintain new technology equipment, food inspection specialists etc.
- Right numbers to meet variable demand for food products e.g. during festival periods
- Without an effective WP the business will fail to meet its objectives

- Is it sufficient to ensure efficient management of HR? Probably not as there are many other issues at CCF too.
- Low cost ("hard") HR strategy seems to have led to poorly motivated employees (quality and supply issues) so other HR measures will be necessary e.g. permanent contracts, revised pay system/levels, team-working etc.

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

Questions 6 and 7 use this marking grid:

	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 10 marks
Level 3				10–7 marks Good judgement shown in text and conclusions.
Level 2	3 marks Good understanding shown.	3 marks Good application to case	4–3 marks Good use of theory to explain points made	6–4 marks Some judgement shown in text and/or conclusions
Level 1	2–1 marks Some understanding shown	2–1 marks Some application to case	2–1 marks Limited use made of theory.	3–1 marks Limited judgement shown

6 Evaluate the usefulness of SWOT and PEST techniques to CCF's directors when undertaking strategic analysis before deciding on the future direction for the business.

[20]

Answers could include:

- Strategic analysis: Researching the business and the environment in which it operates before formulating strategies
- Did Ed commission the SWOT and PEST before suggesting these 2 strategies? If not, the process has been reversed which makes it less effective
- Essential to undertake internal analysis before major strategic development i.e. SWOT analysis
- References made to actual SWOT not very detailed other points might be suggested
- Needs updating. Who did it? How subjective is it?
- External environment particularly important if market development is being considered (e.g. country Y) or if major acquisition is planned (retail takeover)
- Helps to identify potential risks from external factors e.g. political instability which might make investment in another country very risky
- PEST not very detailed many other factors might be suggested.

- Both are important but they are insufficient in themselves?
- Need to be more detailed and updated regularly
- If undertaken by external consultant more likely to be objective than if undertaken internally
- Other forms of strategic analysis needed too e.g. Ansoff, Porter, market research

Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2015	9707	31

7 Evaluate how the directors of CCF could ensure that future strategic changes are implemented successfully.

[20]

Answers could include:

- Attempt to explain strategic implementation trying to ensuring that significant changes are incorporated into a business as effectively as possible with minimum resistance
- Formulation of long term goals and planning to meet them this will aid the implementation process for the strategic changes proposed
- Not much evidence of careful planning since CCF went public clear objective but poorly thought through strategies to meet it and no evidence of contingency planning/review system
- The major strategies being proposed will require clear and well thought out plans e.g. country option will need market share objective (for example), appropriate tactics (marketing mix, adequate budget/resources), review of strategy and contingency plan e.g. if political instability means that employees have to leave.
- A detailed (corporate) plan can help to ensure successful implementation
- Leadership of change not just management of change will be important. Is CCF's management team able to lead change effectively? Not much evidence so far.
- Need to improve communication to reduce resistance to changes e.g. relocation,
- Experience of retail management will be essential if the proposed takeover is to result in the synergies hoped for.

- Corporate planning can become too rigid and inflexible
- Not much evidence/experience of effective planning or leadership of change within CCF
- Some contingency planning will be essential if the strategies lead to major problems
- Other factors will affect successful implementation e.g. effective leadership/management of change. CCF's record here seems to be poor.