MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/21

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



	Page 2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	21
			19th Century topic		
1	Study Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer us details of the sources.			r answer using [7]	
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources but makes no valid compariso	n	[1]
	Level 2		tifies information that is in one source but not in the about Garibaldi	other or states th	at both sources [2]
	Level 3		eements or disagreements of detail		[3–4]
		Disa	eements include: Garibaldi has military success, Gar greements include: in A Garibaldi's reputation is n ater political career is still good while in B it is a time	nanufactured, in I	
	Level 4	Agre	ement and disagreement of detail		[5–6]
	Level 5		pares big messages – in Source A what matters i t actually happened, what he actually did.	s the myth, what	matters in B is [7]
2			e C. Why was this source published in June 180 e source and your knowledge.	60? Explain you	r answer using [7]
	Level 1	Surfa	ace description or misinterpretation		[1]
	Level 2	Inter	prets cartoon but no reason given for why published	t	[2]
	Level 3	Cont	text only – writes about the context of 1860 as a rea	son for publicatio	n [3]
	Level 4		ains sub-message as a reason for publication e ng Sicily	e.g. to show that	: Garibaldi was [4]
	Level 5		ains big message as a reason for publication – m rbons (or Naples) in a valid way	ust include: Garil	oaldi, Sicily and [5]
	Level 6		d purpose as a reason for publication e.g. to enco ic, to support Garibaldi	urage the British	government, or [6]
	Level 7	Expl	ains valid purpose in context of 1860.		[7]
3	-		e D. Are you surprised by this source? Explain and your knowledge.	n your answer u	sing details of [8]
	Level 1	Iden	tifies what is, or is not, surprising – no valid explana	tion	[1]
	Level 2	Valio	d answer but fails to say whether surprised or not		[2]
	Level 3	•	prised because of internal inconsistency e.g. on annuel yet Cavour wants to stop him from conquerir		oted to Victor [3]
	Level 4		ryday empathy e.g. surprised because Cavour (Itali g to stop him	ians) wanted unif	ication yet he is [4]

Page 3	e 3 Mark Scheme		Paper
IGCSE – October/November 2012		0470	21

Level 5 Cross-references (to other sources or own knowledge) to check statements in the source [5–6]

Level 6 Contextual knowledge of Cavour used to explain why he is not supporting Garibaldi e.g. Cavour worried an attack on Rome by Garibaldi will lead to the French declaring war.

[7–8]

4 Study Sources E and F. Does Source F make Source E wrong? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. [8]

Level 1 Writes about the sources but no valid comparison	[1]	
Level 2 Undeveloped provenance used to compare	[2–3]	
Level 3 Answers based on agreement between E and F that Garibaldi is popular	[4]	
Level 4 Answers based on disagreement between E and F over whether Garibaldi is	popular [5–6]	
Level 5 Compares E and F for disagreement then evaluates one source to answer the question. [7–8]		
Study Source G. What is the message of this source? Explain your answer using details of		

5	Study Source G. What is the message of this source? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge. [8	
	Level 1 Surface description or misinterpretation	[1–2]
	Level 2 Valid sub-message	[3–4]
	Level 3 Explains big message – must include Garibaldi, Unification and Vic together in valid way	tor Emmanuel [5–6]
	Level 4 Level 3 plus approval of artist of what is happening in the source.	[7–8]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	21

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Garibaldi was the hero of Italian unification? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

Level 1 No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2 Uses sources to support or reject the statement	[4–6]
Level 3 Uses sources to support and reject the statement	[7–10]

Award up to 2 bonus marks for evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quote. There must be examples from source content. There must be an explanation of how this supports/does not support the statement.

Use Y in the margin for each valid source use in support of the statement, and N for each source use rejecting the statement.

Yes	Νο	
ABCDFG	DEGH	

Page 5		5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	21	
			20th Century topic			
1	Study Sources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? Explain your answer usi details of the sources.			sing [7]		
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources but makes no valid compariso	on		[1]
	Level 2		tifies information that is in one source but not in th about the same subject	e other or states t		rces 2–3]
	Level 3		ements of detail east 1 mark per agreement)		[4	4–6]
		e.g. : invad	Soviets reluctant, the crisis had been developing for de for some time, Soviet indecision, decision to in ms in Czechoslovakia			
	Level 4	A, ha	pares big messages – must be disagreement abo ardliners in Czechoslovakia and/or Warsaw Pact (ets by themselves).			
2	-		e C. What is the message of this cartoon? Expl nd your knowledge.	ain your answeru	sing detail	s of [8]
	Level 1	Surfa	ace description or misinterpretation of the cartoon		[′	1–2]
	Level 2	Valid	I sub-messages about either the USA or the USSR		[3	3–4]
	Level 3	Valid USS	l sub-messages that compare, or look at the relation R	onship between, th		the 5–6]
	Level 4	-	nessage – disapproval of the Cold War e.g. it is at nning the Cold War.	osurd, a waste of ti		ody 7–8]
3	-		es D and E. Does Source E make you trust S of the sources and your knowledge.	ource D? Explaiı	n your ans	wer [8]
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources but no valid comparison			[1]
	Level 2	Com	pares content of sources but no judgement about t	rust		[2]
	Level 3	Ansv	vers based on simple/undeveloped use of provena	nce (one or both s	ources)	[3]
	Level 4		parison of content (agreements or disagreements not in E – to reach judgement about trust	s) – includes detai		in D 4–5]
	Level 5	Com trust	parison of content (agreements and disagreeme	nts) to reach judg	ement(s) al	bout [6]
	Level 6	Com	pares D and E for content and evaluates D or E to	reach judgement a	about trust	[7]
	Level 7	Yes,	because Kadar's attitude in E supports Dubcek's o	onclusion in D.		[8]

Page 6		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	21
4		rce F. Are you surprised by this source? Explai and your knowledge.	n your answer us	ing details of [7]
	Level 1 Ide	ntifies what is, or what is not, surprising – no valid ex	cplanation	[1]
	Level 2 Val	id answers that fail to state whether surprised or not		[2]
		swers based on the internal consistency of the servday empathy	source – so not	surprised OR [3]
		ecks content of Source F to state whether surprised other sources or to own knowledge)	or not (can be by c	ross-reference [4]
	Level 5 Che	ecks existence of this particular letter (through cross	-reference to Sourc	e B) [5]
	Level 6 Exp	plains surprise that Soviets kept the letter secret		[6]
		t surprised – because the hard-line communists wou letter.	uld do this - the wri	ting/sending of [6–7]
5		ces G and H. How far would the artists of these ? Explain your answer using details of the source		
		rees or disagrees on basis of comparison of so derstanding of the sources	urface details or	on incomplete [1]
	Level 2 Exp	plains agreement or disagreement based on compar	ison of provenance	[2–3]
	Level 3 The	ey would have agreed that Russia did invade Czecho	oslovakia (or used	force) [4]
	Level 4 Cor	mpares sources but only understands one source is	condemning the So	oviets [5]
	Level 5 Exp	plains both sources condemn the Soviets		[6–7]
	Level 6 As	for Level 5 plus explains they are condemning the S	oviets for different i	reasons. [8]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	21

6 Study all the sources. Do these sources provide convincing evidence that the Soviet Union invaded Czechoslovakia because of pressure from other Eastern European countries? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

Level 1 No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2 Uses sources to support or reject the statement	[4–6]
Level 3 Uses sources to support and reject the statement	[7–10]

Award up to 2 bonus marks for evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quote. There must be examples from source content. There must be an explanation of how this supports/does not support the statement.

* Czech hardliners can be included in 'other Eastern European countries'.

Use Y in the margin for each source use in support of the statement and N for each source use rejecting the statement.

Yes	No	
BDEF	ABCGH	