MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/41

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



	Page 2	2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
De	pth Stuc	ly A: (Gern	nany, 1918–1945		
1	(a) (i)	Leve	el 1 -	- Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]
		Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the armed violence; sympathetic to lawbreakers; peacemaking; widespread antagonism etc.	-	
		Leve	el 3 –	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the armed violence from Kapp Putsch; sympathy banned Freikorps members allowed to join Op right-wing papers saying patriotic Germans approximately 	to lawbreakers with peration Consul, ar	h
				assassination of Walter Rathenau etc.		[5–6]
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]
		Leve	el 2 -	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.	
		Yes		Spartacists in Berlin; government needed Fre Communist impact from Bavaria to the Ruhr; 1924 etc.		
		No		some early socialist opposition; Eisner's murc speedy defeats; scale of casualties; only 'atte SPD maintained its vote etc.		; [3–5]
		Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses th	ne [6–7]
	(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information. 		[1]
		Leve	el 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is from a Briton and th Communist so they could both be biased/unre		[2]
		Leve	el 3 -	 Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information. 	mation given. Must	t [3–5]
		Leve	el 4 –	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid eventext. Include at this Level answers that crown of and B to show reliability. 		
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 3		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
30 0		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level 1	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hinde initially refused to concede any powers; 1 Oc Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of Octob constitutional monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abdi went to live in Holland etc. 	enburg and Luden tober appointed F per he was a	dorff; Prince
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 4 	18, emergency po	wers. [1–2]
	Level 2	 Develops aspects e.g. Elected Head of State; he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public emergency powers to suspend individual righ measures by decree etc. 	order; Article 48,	
(iii)	Level 1	- Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pre debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian inv economic loss; passive resistance and gover to pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss currency etc. 	ssures because o vasion of the Ruhr nment printing mo	; oney
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and politi 	cal.	[1]
	Level 2	 Explanation of change OR lack of change, sir 	ngle factor given e	e.g.
	Cha	No longer a monarchy; Weimar imposed upo brought political protest and social unrest; Stu Golden Years; Germans more confident in la seemed to have beaten off right and left wing hyperinflation on savings/different classes; tra architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin an American influence etc.	resemann and the tter years of the 1 parties; impact o ade unions develo	e 920s; f
	Lack	Still very conservative in the countryside; Stra seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose judicial and industrialists wanted no change e NB The question refers to social and polit	48 powers; much e morals; military; etc.	
	Level 3	 Explanation of change OR lack of change, with Allow single factors with multiple reasons. 	th multiple factors	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of Change AND lack of change i 	must be addresse	d. [6–8]

	Page 4 Mark Scheme Syllabus Paper							
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41		
Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941								
2	(a) (i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.		[1–2]	
		Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the been ill; he had been outmanoeuvred but sho etc.	-		[3–4]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the became exhausted at meetings because of h Politburo members had tried to sneak votes p he re-asserted his authority by putting time lin	is recent illness; bast him in his abs	sence;	[5–6]	
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.		[1–2]	
		Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.			
		Yes		Not deeply divided on issues; both industrialis peasants; disagreements less obvious than p etc.				
		No		Rivals over issues like industrialisation and per accused of stealing Trotsky's policy over rapid and file saw there were differences but not la	d industrialisation		[3–5]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the	[6–7]	
	(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]	
		Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is British, the so both could be biased/unreliable.	other is from Ame	erica,	[2]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st	[3–5]	
		Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		· · ·	[6–7]	
							[~ ']	

Page 5	5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum Established as the newspaper of the Bolshev from Gorky; Stalin a contributor; Bukharin was Truth etc. 	ik Party with mon	-
(ii)	Level	 Identifies Rebellion e.g. Rebellion by sailors w soviet. 	/ho set up their ov	vn [1–2]
	Level	2 – Develops aspects of the Rebellion e.g. The Sa naval base had been great supporters of the had become disenchanted with the results of made demands, set up separate soviet; cruel led by Trotsky; helped influence Lenin to intro	Bolsheviks in 191 War Communism ly put down by tro	7; a; pops
(iii)	Level	1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Late convert from Mensheviks achievements in negotiations at Brest-Litovsk brilliant speaker, he was the obvious choice to things for granted, arrogant, not liked by fellow built support through position of Secretary-Ge one took Stalin seriously; no-one had Lenin's funeral; Stalin's role and speech at Lenin's fun- tional static stalin's functional static sta	but outstanding and in the Civil V o succeed; but he w Bolsheviks; Sta eneral of the Party nomination; Lenin	e took lin ⁄; no-
(iv)	Level	 Simple assertions. Yes, he was the obvious leader. No, he was p 	paranoid.	[1]
	Level	2 - Explanation of security OR lack of security, sin	ngle factor given e	ə.g.
	Sec	He was leader; he had outmanoeuvred all riva Five Year Plans and collectivisation were ove of Personality working well; art and literature propaganda etc.	r early problems;	Cult
	Lack	Largely his own paranoia made him see rivals Kirov meant that he began the Great Purge of military, managers, experts and 'slackers'; the show his lack of security etc.	f Old Bolsheviks,	the
	Level	 3 – Explanation of security OR lack of security wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level	 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of security AND lack of security r 	nust be addresse	d. [6–8]

	Page 6 Mark Scheme Syllabus Page								
			IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470 4						
De	pth S	Stud	y C: ⊺	The l	JSA, 1919–1941				
3	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]		
			Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the groups benefited; variable impact; middle clas greedy; conspicuous consumption etc.	-	[3–4]		
			Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the and investors doing very well with properties; off but unused to controlling spending; skilled higher wages; unskilled have progressed leas intolerable etc.	middle class fairly workers better of	y well f with		
		(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]		
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.			
			Yes		Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to tra 'freed' labour etc.	ade and investme	nt;		
			No		Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; late thical etc.	rge business more	e [3–5]		
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]		
		(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]		
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from an American a from President Coolidge so they could both be	-			
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]		
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.				

Page 7	7		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE –	October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve		or each valid industry to a maxin s, ship building etc.	num of two e.g. Coa	al, [1-2]
(ii)	Leve	1 – Identifies p	roblems e.g. overproduction; deb	ot.	[1–2]
	Leve	in grain; ov	problems e.g. Tariffs; competition verproduction; mechanisation; pri loss of farms etc.		
(iii)	Leve	1 – Single reas	on. One for the reason, one for t	he explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	Ford and p falling with	asons. One for each reason, one production line assembly; econon competition; demand increased; increased mobility of owners etc.	nies of scale; prices advertising; hire	-
(iv)	Leve	1 – Simple ass Yes, more	ertions. jobs. No, lacked rights.		[1]
	Leve	2 – Explanatior	n of benefit OR lack of benefit, si	ngle factor given e.	g.
	Ben	markets; co	of new industries created jobs; ta ould afford new consumer goods r hours etc.		
	Lack	organisatio	keeping pace with profits; no job on; unemployment remained high d for labour; 42 per cent living be ed; problems of older industries, a etc.	; new methods red low poverty line – l	uced argely
	Leve		n of benefit OR lack of benefit wit ors with multiple reasons.	h multiple factors. A	Allow
	OR	•	ed suggestions on BOTH sides of anced but Brief).	of the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Leve		at offer a balanced argument. s of benefit AND lack of benefit r	nust be addressed.	[6–8]

	Page	8		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
De	pth Stu	ıdy D	: Chin	a, 1945–c.1990		
4	(a) (i) Le	vel 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no infer	rence made.	[1–2]
		Le	vel 2 –	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the went around in small groups; they were unfar they seemed nice people etc. 	-	-
		Le	vel 3 –	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the were well-armed from a variety of sources; ei weapons captured in battle or US rifles from of were polite with bows and smiles; unfamiliar v to ask for directions etc. 	ther Japanese deserting KMT tro	ops;
	(ii) Le	vel 1 –	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1-2]
		Le	vel 2 –	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.	
		Ye	S	KMT much better armed and equipped; KMT assistance from USA to transport troops to no		ny;
		No)	Red Army got to Manchuria first; did it withou communists in USSR; Red Army still felt it co manoeuvring and fighting had already started	uld win as	(][3–5]
		Le	vel 3 –	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]
	(iii) Le	vel 1 –	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information. 		[1]
		Le	vel 2 –	- Useful/not useful – One is from a German and Chinese person, so they could both be biased		a [2]
		Le	vel 3 –	- Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]
		Le	vel 4 –	- Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability.		veen
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 9)	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum with Nationalist supporters to Taiwan; an isla mainland China; always a threat to commun position; threats, alternative systems and su 	and off the coast of ist China because	of its
(ii)	Leve	1 – Identifies working e.g. Courts where landlord	s were brought.	[1 – 2]
	Leve	2 – Develops working e.g. Courts where landlord receive allegations and both verbal and physi against the peasants over many years; land often executed etc.	sical abuse for action	
(iii)	Leve	1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for th	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one f explained e.g. USA hostile to communists; a with communist USSR and if China became threaten the whole Asian continent, where th fundamental rejection of anything that smelle already invested much in keeping China nation must continue to invest etc.	already had a prob communist it would ne USA had interes ed of communism;	d ts; had
(iv)	Leve	 Simple assertions. No, the Communists definitely won it for ther 	nselves.	[1]
	Leve	2 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other fact e.g.	ors, single factor g	iven
	KMT	Exhausted fighting Japanese since 1931; de support from USA, the KMT leadership, strat poor; KMT unpopular with peasants; many d etc.	tegy and discipline	were
	Othe	Impact of long civil war and the war against discipline and drive of CCP army and leader Chinese people largely wanted peace and d delivered it etc.	ship; land issues;	side [2]
	Leve	3 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other fact Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	ors with multiple fa	ctors.
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (and	notate [3–5]
	Leve	 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of KMT weakness AND other fa addressed. 	ictors must be	[6–8]

	Paç	ge 1								
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41			
Dej	pth S	Stud	y E: \$	Sout	hern Africa in the Twentieth Century					
5	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]			
			Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the urgent problems; some wishing to avoid apar blacks etc.					
			Leve	əl 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the Dangerous situation brewing because of prop urgent action required for a more just solution undermine all races and the country as a who an experienced white Senator etc	paganda and actic a; separation woul	d			
		(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]			
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.				
			Yes		National Party had won voter support on a cle Afrikaner dominance in government would giv new; most policies favoured segregation; ruth confidence to supporters etc.	/e support; nothin	g			
			No		Narrow majority; Afrikaners only 12 per cent of implies division among whites – United Party the task etc.		of [3–5]			
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]			
		(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]			
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a white South is British so they could both be biased/unrelia		ther [2]			
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]			
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both					
							[,]			

Page 1	1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level 1	 One mark for each valid group to a maximum Afrikaner Party; Malan's National Party; Pirov Broederbond; Ox-wagon Sentinel (Ossewabr republicans; Communists (till 1941). 	v's New Order Pa	rty;
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies aspects e.g. It increased membersh up. 	ip; Youth League	set [1–2]
	Level 2	 Develops aspects e.g. Xuma president. 1940- executive stronger; 1943 – new constitution, r chiefs; active recruitment, published Africans founded in 1944 by Lembede, Sisulu, Mande for Pan-Africanism; cooperation with NRC for campaign etc. 	reduced power of ' claims; Youth Le la, Tambo etc; su	ague
()		Single reason One for the reason and for the	avalanation	
(111)	Level I	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. By 1945 it was producing 50 p arms/ ammunition; naval ship repairs; ports e too dangerous; Britain carried most of the cos government domestic investment increased; of imports stimulated domestic production; ch 	er cent of Allies' s xpanded as Suez st of SA military; S demand for gold;	/Med SA
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. Yes, racial classification. No, continuation of elements 	earlier policies.	[1]
	Level 2	 Explanation of change OR lack of change, sin 	igle factor given e	.g.
	Cha	It designated the racial group of every citizen ended; 1953 – segregated every aspect of fa removals, restricted blacks'/coloureds' town a prohibited; Bantu Education Act; suppression reactivated ANC; Treason Trials etc.	cilities; forced areas; black strike	
	Lack	Reserves/townships/Pass Laws already exist power of chiefs; opposition failed – Defiance Charter; Afrikaner control tightened – Strijdon election etc.	Campaign/Freedo	om
	Level 3	 Explanation of change OR lack of change with single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors.	Allow
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change n 	nust be addressed	d. [6–8]

	Page	e 12	2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Pape	r
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
De	pth St	tudy	y F: I	srae	lis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994			
6	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.		[1–2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the act from previous experience; outcome not al			[3–4]
			Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inference with reference to the decided that this 'dictator' would not be appear French and Israeli support; unpopular in Britis among Britain's friends as well etc.	ased; Britain had		[5–6]
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.		[1–2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.		
			Yes		Dishonoured commercial agreements; many would have agreed as a dictator must not be there is a chance he could attack neighbours etc.	appeased; accep	ts that	
			No		Had broken no treaties; does not rule by terro at home; land reform: had not turned on his n the Canal etc			[3–5]
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the	[6–7]
	(i	ii)	Leve	əl 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is a British history boo British newspaper, so they could both be bias		om a	[2]
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st	[3–5]
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.			[6–7]

Page 13	3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level 1	– One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum plan between Britain, France and Israel for Is and advance on the Canal; Britain and Franc sides withdraw 16km from the Canal; Britain I comply so could invade under the pretext of t and, thus, secure the Canal Zone.	rael to attack Egy e to demand that knew Nasser wou	pt both Id not
(ii)	Level 1	- Identifies roles e.g. both were angry and threa	atened action.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Develops roles e.g. USSR threatened Britain probably bluff and bluster to cover its own inv stopped oil for Britain from its only available s the pound sterling collapsed etc. 	asion of Hungary	; USA
(iii)	Level 1	- Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Resented the foreign ownersh had been dug using Egyptian labour with man Egypt should have more control of and reven received promises from USA, Britain and Wo 1955 to finance the Aswan Dam; May 1956 N Communist China; July, West withdrew the or Nasser would fall; 26 July, Nasser announced nationalising the Canal as he would receive in than from Western aid etc. 	ip of the Canal wh ny lives lost; felt th ue from the Cana rld Bank in Decen lasser recognised ffer of aid, hoping d his intention of	nat I; had nber I
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. No, he lost money as he sank ships in the Ca 	inal.	[1]
	Level 2	- Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph, sir	ngle factor given e	e.g.
	Triu	Had humiliated Britain and France whose infl would be much diminished; his current backe the sponsor to many Arab states; Nasser was popular than ever; Israel gave back gains in S could claim that Israel had only won the milita Britain and France to help it etc.	r, the USSR, beca s stronger and mo Sinai in 1957; Nas	ame bre sser
	Lack	The Canal was closed with sunken ships; mu arms had been captured; Israel knew it could nothing had changed in the domestic area of when popularity subsided, criticism would gro	defeat Arab armi Egyptian politics	es;
	Level 3	 Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph wit single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors.	Allow
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief)	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of triumph AND lack of triumph r 	nust be addresse	d. [6–8]

Page 14			4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			T	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
De	pth S	Stud	y G: T	he Creation of Modern Industrial Society			
7	(a)	(i)	Leve	1 – Repeats material seen in the source, no infere	ence made.	[1-2	2]
			Leve	2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the demand and use for steel gets greater and greater industry must be flourishing, providing jobs ar	eater; the whole	n etc. [3–4	4]
			Leve	3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the and production of steel is climbing at a huge r is about twenty-five times as great as in 1870 times that of 1880; so there must be huge der many areas of construction etc.	rate; production in , in 1900 it was th	1913 iree	6]
		(ii)	Leve	1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2	2]
			Leve	2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.		
			Yes	Converter rendered wholly unfit for the envisa was too much phosphorus in the iron ore; failu energies; the press insulted him as a wild enti-	ure had paralysed		
			No	The concept of the process was fine but the ir conception it would have produced huge mas treated; if the phosphorus in the ore could be have his crowning success; he is writing his a somebody wants to read about his Converter	ses of iron ready overcome he wou uutobiography so	to be uld	5]
			Leve	3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7	7]
	١	(iii)	Leve	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information. 		['	1]
			Leve	2 – Useful/not useful – One is a graph and the oth Bessemer so they could both be biased/unrel		•	2]
			Leve	3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5	5]
			Leve	 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 		()	
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6-7	7]

Page 1	5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	 1 – One mark for a valid example for each half of Sheffield; (ii) Yorkshire, Durham, Newcastle asks for English examples. 		
(ii)	Leve	1 – Identifies problems e.g. Underground, difficul	t to get at.	[1–2]
	Leve	 2 – Develops problems e.g. Drainage, flooding, v gases e.g. fire damp; depth of mines, raising transportation away from the pithead etc. 		ons – [2–4]
(iii)	Leve	1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Demands of growing industry tools; expansion of the use of steam in factor engines and rails; improved technology mean steamships and naval vessels driven by scree ever improving as problems of production we production reduced the cost; domestic utens	 for machinery and railways - nt the developmer and the developm	- nt of
(iv)	Leve	1 – Simple assertions.No, both about the same.		[1]
	Leve	2 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal, single	factor given e.g.	
	I & S	Without iron and steel industries there would in machinery or the railways as both were ma expansion; there would have been slower tra raw materials, food and people etc.	ajor drivers of indu	ıstrial
	Coal	Coal was the driving force behind increased steel and for the steam industries; railways a have been severely restricted; domestic hea badly hit. NB – They are interdependent for growth	nd steamships wo ting would have be	ould een ates
		who see this.		[2]
	Leve	3 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal with musical single factors with multiple reasons.	ultiple factors. Allo	W
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Leve	 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of iron and steel AND coal must 	be addressed.	[6–8]

	Page 16			Mark Scheme		Syllabus		Paper	
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41		
Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Twentieth Century									
8	(a)	(i)	Level	1–	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.		[1–2]	
			Level	2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the and envied; good role model of ruling; inspire	0	mired	[3–4]	
		Level 3 –			Supports valid inferences with reference to th empire with increasing colonial possessions; as governs well; inspires German rivalry etc.	-	-	[5–6]	
		(ii)	Level	1–	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.		[1–2]	
			Level	2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.			
			Yes		Gave protection to both weak and strong; all conquests and victories etc.	else consolidates	their		
			No		Justice and prudence; interest in material con customs and religious beliefs inspires loyalty British rule etc.			[3–5]	
			Level	3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the se issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the	[6–7]	
		(iii)	Level	1–	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]	
			Level	2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is from a Frem is from a German so they could both be biase	-	other	[2]	
			Level	3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	ıst	[3–5]	
			Level	4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability.				
					6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.			[6–7]	

Page 1	7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for each valid example to a maxim (German South-West Africa), German East A Cameroon (accept German spelling with 'K').	Africa, Togoland,	mibia [1–2]	
(ii)	Leve	I 1 – Identifies motives e.g. Gain and reward etc.		[1–2]	
	Leve	I 2 – Develops motives e.g. A ready market for go imperial and industrial nation; a source of che source of cheap labour for whatever industrie source of labour for other imperial tasks e.g. Africa; source of cheap and numerous troops and the imperialists' interests etc.	eap raw materials; es established loca Indians used in So	a ally, a outh	
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]	
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Wanted the prestige and reco- power, not just a European one; envied earl the sun'; believed economic advantages wou celebrating the union of German states throu territory; to prove Germany, as a state, had a	gnition as a world ier empire; a 'place Ild accrue; a mear gh acquisition of		
(iv)	Leve	l 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they took land and material and made fo	ortunes.	[1]	
	Leve	l 2 – Explanation of European benefit OR other fac given e.g.	ctors, single reaso	n	
	Euro	Gained empires, raw materials, markets; indi Africa and India; some altruistic missionaries they achieved their missions; traders; gover government and gained reputations – Lugard prestige etc.	, explorers gained nment officials imp	if proved	
	Othe	r Native populations gained from law and orde education, missionary work etc. but not alway of local political organisation; break up of trib interference in local customs and religions; A governments made great successes of empi missionaries, explorers met sad ends etc.	ys in these fields. I al groupings; LSO not all Europ	Loss ean	
	Leve	l 3 – Explanation of European benefit OR other fac factors given. Allow single factors with multip			
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]	
	Leve	I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of European benefit AND other addressed.	factors must be	[6–8]	